

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

MY HOME NOW, LLC,)	Case No. 2:16-cv-00727-GMN-NJK
Plaintiff(s),)	
vs.)	ORDER
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., et al.,)	(Docket No. 23)
Defendant(s).)	

Pending before the Court is a discovery plan that seeks nearly double the presumptively reasonable discovery period. *Compare* Docket No. 23 at 3 (seeking discovery period of 300 days) *with* Local Rule 26-1(b)(1) (establishing presumptively reasonable discovery period of 180 days). The parties assert such an expansive discovery period is required because discovery will be taken regarding “information that is largely contained by persons and entities not named in this action and that are anticipated to be dilatory in their responses.” Docket No. 23 at 3. The fact that the parties plan to conduct third-party discovery is not sufficient justification to extend the discovery period, nor is their speculation that these parties may be dilatory in responding.

The discovery plan also misstates the deadline for seeking extensions of the deadlines set forth therein. Docket No. 23 at 5. The deadline to seek an extension is 21 days before expiration of the subject deadline for which extension is sought, *see* Local Rule 26-4, not 21 days before the expiration of the discovery period. For example, the deadline for seeking to extend the deadline for expert

1 disclosures is 21 days before the expiration of that deadline, and a request to extend that deadline filed
2 21 days before the discovery cutoff would be untimely.

3 Accordingly, the discovery plan is **DENIED**. The parties shall file an amended discovery plan
4 by June 29, 2016.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 DATED: June 24, 2016

7 
8 _____
9 NANCY J. KOPPE
10 United States Magistrate Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28