

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

TONEY ANTHONY WHITE,  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
COUNTY OF CLARK NEVADA et al.,  
Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00734-RFB-VCF  
ORDER

**I. DISCUSSION**

Plaintiff, who is an inmate in the custody of the Clark County Detention Center (“CCDC”), is awaiting the screening of his third amended complaint (ECF No. 38). In the meantime, Plaintiff has filed multiple miscellaneous motions that the Court will address now.

**A. Service Motions**

Plaintiff has filed five motions related to service (ECF No. 39, 40, 45, 46, 48). The Court denies these motions as procedurally premature. The Court will order service when procedurally applicable.

**B. Motion for Reconsideration**

Plaintiff files a motion for reconsideration on the Magistrate Judge’s order which denied joint correspondence between Plaintiff and inmate, Amanda Sexton. (ECF No. 43 at 1; ECF No. 31 at 2-3). According to Plaintiff’s original motions, the CCDC prohibited

1 inmate-to-inmate mail communications absent exceptions that Plaintiff and Sexton do not  
2 qualify for. (ECF No. 31 at 3).

3 The Court denies this motion without prejudice. Given the volume of motions that  
4 have been filed in this case and the issues raised in these motions with respect to how  
5 this litigation will proceed. The Court will set a status conference to review the state of  
6 the case with the parties and set a discovery and motion plan to streamline the filing and  
7 consideration of motions and discovery issues in this case.

8 **C. Motions for Court Action**

9 Plaintiff has filed two motions for court action to screen his third amended  
10 complaint and to address his outstanding motions. (ECF No. 50, 57). The Court denies  
11 these motions without prejudice as moot. Plaintiff's third amended complaint (ECF No.  
12 38) is in line for screening. The screening process may take several months. The Court  
13 seeks to process the motions in its docket in the order in which they are received with  
14 consideration also given to the nature of the motion.

15 **D. Motions for TRO/Preliminary Injunction**

16 Plaintiff has filed identical motions for temporary restraining order ("TRO") and  
17 preliminary injunction based on allegations addressed in his second amended complaint.  
18 (See ECF No. 13, 52, 53). The Court will consider only the most recent motion and deny  
19 the other motions without prejudice as moot given the Court's consideration of the most  
20 recent identical motion. This latest motion will be considered at the hearing set in this  
21 order.

22 **E. Motion for Copies**

23 In the motion, Plaintiff states that some of his exhibits in his personal files are  
24 missing pages and seeks the Court's permission to have the Clerk of the Court mail him  
25 those missing pages for his own records. (ECF No. 59 at 2). The Court denies this motion.  
26 The Court cannot provide copies or mailing service for parties, even indigent plaintiffs  
27 proceeding *in forma pauperis*. If Plaintiff wishes to receive copies of electronically filed  
28 documents from the Court, the cost is \$0.10 per page. See Nev. LR IC 1-1(i)(5).

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**II. CONCLUSION**

For the reasons noted,

IT IS ORDERED that the motions related to service (ECF No. 39, 40, 45, 46, 48) are denied without prejudice as premature.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for reconsideration (ECF No. 43) is denied without prejudice.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motions for court order (ECF No. 50, 57) are denied without prejudice as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motions for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction (ECF Nos. 13, 52) are denied without prejudice as moot.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a hearing is set for October 10, 2017 at 11L00 AM in LV Courtroom 7D. The hearing will address the Motion for a Temporary Restraining order and Preliminary Injunction (EFC No. 53).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for copies (ECF No. 59) is denied.

DATED: September 29, 2017.



---

RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE