1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Email: psp@paulpaddalaw.com</li> <li>PAUL PADDA LAW, PLLC</li> <li>4240 West Flamingo Road, Suite 220</li> <li>Las Vegas, Nevada 89103</li> <li>Tele: (702) 366-1888</li> <li>Fax: (702) 366-1940</li> <li>Web: paulpaddalaw.com</li> <li>Kathleen Bliss, Esq. (NV Bar #7606)</li> <li>Email: kb@kathleenblisslaw.com</li> <li>Jason K. Hicks, Esq. (NV Bar #13149)</li> <li>Email: ja@kathleenblisslaw.com</li> <li>KATHLEEN BLISS LAW GROUP, PLLC</li> </ul>	
9 10	<ul> <li>Tele: (702) 793-4000</li> <li>Fax: (702) 793-4001</li> </ul>	
11	Attorneys for the Plaintiff	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
14	THOMAS KIM,	
15		
16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Case No. 2:16-cv-0778-APG-CWH
17	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
18 19	Defendant. )	
20	PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT	
21		
22	(THIRD REQUEST)	
23	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 6(b)(1)(A), Plaintiff respectfully	
24	requests that the Court extend by six business days, or until October 10, 2016, the time within	
25	which Plaintiff must respond to Defendant's motion to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint. Presently,	
26	00010763.WPD;1; 200332	

Plaintiff's response is due on September 30, 2016. This is Plaintiff's third request for an
 extension of time for the purpose set forth herein. Counsel for the United States, Katherine Foss,
 Esq., has kindly indicated she has no opposition to this request.

In support of this motion, Plaintiff relies upon the memorandum of points and authorities set forth below.

6

4

5

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A) permits a party to extend a deadline prior to
its expiration upon a showing of "good cause." The standard to be applied by a court under
FRCP 6(b)(1) is a liberal one in order to "effectuate the general purpose of seeing that cases are
tried on the merits." <u>Ahanchian v. Xenon Pictures, Inc.</u>, 624 F.3d 1253, 1258-59 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2010).
"Good cause is a non-rigorous standard that has been construed broadly across procedural and
statutory contexts." <u>Id</u> at 1259.

13 Here, undersigned counsel's work schedule requires a short extension of time to file a 14 response to the pending dispositive motion. Although undersigned counsel has been working to 15 complete a response, and has nearly done so, an additional six business days will permit him 16 sufficient time to complete, review and finalize an appropriate response to the pending 17 dispositive motion while at the same time balancing other case commitments.<sup>1</sup> While 18 undersigned counsel has co-counsel that will assist in finalizing the response, co-counsel are 19 exceptionally busy during the coming week with a filing due in the United States Court of 20 Appeals for the Ninth Circuit and will not be able to assist until the end of next week.

With respect to competing case commitments, undersigned counsel is himself extremely
busy in the next three days with discovering closing in a significant civil case pending in state

23

24

25

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> An appropriate and fulsome response to the motion to dismiss is important as it will assist the Court, which will act as the trier-of-fact in this litigation, in appreciating the issues at play in this litigation.

1	court. In that case, defendants have filed several motions that will need to be responded to and	
2	undersigned counsel anticipates devoting the next two business days to completing those	
3	responses. During the past three days, undersigned counsel was not able to devote sufficient time	
4	to this case as he was required to respond to thirteen motions in limine filed in yet another state	
5	case with a trial scheduled to commence on October 10, 2016. A short extension of time,	
6	however, will permit undersigned counsel sufficient time to complete and file a response to the	
7	pending dispositive motion.	
8	CONCLUSION	
9	Based upon the foregoing, undersigned counsel respectfully requests that the Court grant	
10	this motion.	
11	Respectfully submitted,	
12	/s/ Paul S. Padda	
13	Paul S. Padda, Esq.	
14	Attorney for Plaintiff	
15	Dated: September 29, 2016	
16		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
18	Plaintiff's motion for a six day extension of time to respond to Defendant's dispositive motion (Pacer #17) is	
19	hereby granted. Plaintiff's response shall be due on or before October 10, 2016.	
20	<u>A</u>	
21	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
22	D + JDD Contamb or 20, 2016	
23	DATED: September 30, 2016	
24		
25		
26	00010763.WPD;1; 200332 3	
11		

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>
2	In compliance with the Court's Local Rules, the undersigned hereby certifies that on
3	September 29, 2016 a copy of the foregoing document, "PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS
4	PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT" was served (via the Court's CM/ECF system) upon all counsel of record.
5	
6	/s/ Paul S. Padda
7	Paul S. Padda, Esq.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	00010763.WPD;1; 200332 4