

1 LAURA R. JACOBSEN
 NV Bar No. 13699
 2 McDONALD CARANO WILSON LLP
 100 W. Liberty St., 10th Floor
 3 P.O. Box 2670
 Reno, Nevada 89505
 4 Telephone: (775) 788-2000
 Facsimile: (775) 788-2020
 5 ljacobsen@mcdonaldcarano.com

6 JOHN B. SULLIVAN, Pro Hac Vice Pending
 CA Bar No. 96742
 7 DONALD H. CRAM, Pro Hac Vice Pending
 CA Bar No. 160004
 8 MARY KATE KAMKA, Pro Hac Vice Pending
 CA Bar No. 282911
 9 SEVERSON & WERSON
 A Professional Corporation
 10 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2600
 San Francisco, California 94111
 11 Telephone: (415) 398-3344
 Facsimile: (415) 956-0439
 12 jbs@severson.com
 dhc@severson.com
 13 mkk@severson.com

14 Attorneys for Defendant Green Tree
 Servicing LLC now known as Ditech
 15 Financial LLC (erroneously named as
 Green Tree Services, LLC)
 16

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 18 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
 19

20 Lee C. Kamimura, individually and all
 others similarly situated,
 21 Plaintiff,
 22
 vs.
 23 Green Tree Services, LLC,
 24 Defendant.
 25

Case No. 2:16-cv-00783-APG-CWH

**STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION
 OF DEADLINE TO FILE RULE 26
 JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN**

**1ST REQUEST TO EXTEND
 DEADLINE TO SUBMIT RULE 26
 JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN**

26 Defendant Green Tree Servicing LLC, now known as Ditech Financial LLC
 27 (“Ditech”) and Plaintiff Lee C. Kamimura (“Plaintiff”), collectively referred to
 28 herein as the “Parties,” hereby stipulate to the following:

1 1. Plaintiff filed her Class Action Complaint For Damages Pursuant To
2 The Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, Et Seq. (“Complaint”) against
3 Defendant on April 8, 2016.

4 2. On May 2, 2016, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint.

5 3. Plaintiff served Ditech with the First Amended Complaint on May 10,
6 2016.

7 4. On June 30, 2016, Ditech filed its motion to dismiss Plaintiff’s First
8 Amended Complaint.

9 5. The Parties have held their discovery conference under Fed. R. Civ. P.
10 26(f) within 30 days after Ditech’s motion to dismiss.

11 6. On July 15, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Second Amended Complaint
12 (“SAC”). ECF NO. 16.

13 7. Ditech’s response to Plaintiff’s SAC is due on August 22, 2016. ECF
14 No. 18.

15 8. After meeting and conferring regarding discovery, the parties have
16 agreed to stipulate to extend the deadline to submit their stipulated discovery plan
17 and scheduling order to forty-five (45) days after Ditech has filed an answer to the
18 SAC.

19 9. Due to the changing nature of the pleadings, the possibility of further
20 dispositive motions that may narrow the issues in the case, and the parties’
21 willingness to cooperate on efforts to streamline discovery in this matter, good cause
22 exists to delay extend the deadline for the Parties to submit a proposed discovery
23 plan until after Ditech has responded to the SAC.

24 \\

25 \\

26 \\

27 \\

28 \\

