UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Count's Kustoms, LLC,

Plaintiff

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Joseph Frontiera, et al.,

Defendants

2:16-cv-00910-JAD-GWF

Order Re: Motion-to-Dismiss Briefing

[ECF Nos. 25, 37]

ALL RELATED ACTIONS

When defendant Joseph Frontiera filed his motion to dismiss¹ eight minutes after the
 stipulated deadline expired, plaintiff Count's Kustoms, LLC moved to strike it as untimely.²
 Frontiera asks the court to excuse the motion's untimeliness and consider the motion on its
 merits.³ I find both motions suitable for disposition without oral argument.⁴

A. Motion to Strike

Plaintiff cites Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f)(2) as the authoritative basis for its request to strike Frontiera's late motion to dismiss. Rule 12(f) authorizes the court to strike matters *from pleadings*.⁵ Rule 7 identifies the civil filings that qualify as pleadings;⁶ a motion to

²² ¹ ECF No. 18.
² ECF No. 25.

- 24 ³ ECF No. 37.
- 26 ⁴ L.R. 78-1.
- 27 ⁵ Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).
- 28 ⁶ Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a)(1)–(7).

1	dismiss is not among them, so Rule 12(f) has no application here. ⁷ The motion to strike (ECF
2	No. 25) is denied.

В.	Motion fo	r Leave
----	-----------	---------

Frontiera moves the court to accept his late-filed motion based on a showing of excusable neglect. Counsel explains that the motion was served on all counsel minutes before the deadline, but the filing did not ultimately go through until eight minutes after midnight on the following day.8 Because the motion was timely served on the parties, and the filing delay was mere minutes long, I find excusable neglect and good cause exists. Accordingly, I grant Frontiera's motion (ECF No. 37) and deem his motion to dismiss (ECF No. 18) timely.

10	Conclusion		
11	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that		
12	• Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant Frontiera's Motion to Dismiss As Untimely		
13	[ECF No. 25] is DENIED;		
14	• Defendant Frontiera's Motion for Leave for this Court to Accept Late Filing of		
15	Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 37] is GRANTED. Frontiera's Motion to Dismiss		
16	[ECF No. 18] will be considered as a timely motion.		
17	DATED: June 20, 2016		
18	Xrac		
19	Jennifer A) Dorsey		
20	United States District Judge		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25	⁷ Sidney-Vinstein v. A.H. Robins Co., 697 F.2d 880, 885 (9th Cir. 1983) ("Under the express		
26	language of the rule, only pleadings are subject to motions to strike.").		

⁸ ECF Nos. 37 at 3; 37-1 at 3.