UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 Peter Castellanos, 2:16-cv-00966-JAD-GWF 5 Petitioner Order Screening and Filing Petition, 6 Ordering Response, and Denying v. **Motion for Appointment of Counsel** 7 Warden B. Stroud, et al., [ECF No. 2] 8 Respondents 9 10 I have screened Nevada state prisoner Peter Castellanos's § 2554 petition under Rule 4 of the 11 Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts and find that it warrants a 12 response. I therefore direct the Clerk to file Castellanos's petition and serve it on respondents for a 13 response. 14 Castellanos has also filed a motion for appointment of counsel. There is no constitutional right to counsel in federal habeas corpus proceedings,² but a court may appoint counsel to represent a 15 16 financially eligible person when the interests of justice so require.³ To determine whether 17 appointment of counsel is warranted, courts consider the likelihood of success on the merits and the 18 petitioner's ability to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues 19 involved.⁴ The issues raised in this case are not so complex that appointment of counsel is 20 warranted, so I deny Castellanos's motion. 21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Castellanos's motion for appointment of 22 counsel [ECF No. 2] is DENIED. 23 24 ¹ ECF No. 2. 25 ² McCleskev v. Zant, 499 U.S. 467, 495 (1991). 26 27 ³ 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B). 28 ⁴ Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952 (9th Cir. 1983).

Page 1 of 2

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is instructed to file the petition [ECF No. 1-1], add Adam Paul Laxalt, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents, and serve respondents with a copy of the petition and this order. The Clerk of Court is further instructed to send Castellanos a copy of his petition. **Counsel for respondents must enter a notice of appearance by July 29, 2016.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents will have 45 days from receipt of the petition to answer or otherwise respond. Respondents must raise all affirmative defenses in their initial responsive pleading, including untimeliness, lack of exhaustion, and procedural default. Successive dismissal motions will not be entertained. If respondents file and serve an answer, they must comply with Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, and Castellanos will then have 45 days from receipt of the answer to file a reply. If respondents file a motion, the briefing schedule under Local Rule 7-2 will apply.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any exhibits must be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying each by number or letter. Any attachments filed in CM/ECF must be identified by the numbers or letters of the exhibit in the attachment. A hard copy of any state-court record exhibits must be forwarded to the staff attorneys in Las Vegas.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, from this point forward, Costellanos must serve on respondents (or if counsel has appeared for respondents, on respondents' counsel) a copy of every pleading, motion, or other document filed in this case. Castellanos must include with the original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct copy of the document was mailed to respondents or their counsel. The court may disregard any filing that does not include a certificate of service.

Dated this 15th day of June, 2016.

United States District Judg