

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUNDANCE HOMEOWNERS'  
ASSOCIATION INC, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-01032-RFB-GWF

**ORDER**

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 35) of the Honorable George Foley, Jr., United States Magistrate Judge, entered October 31, 2018.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by November 14, 2018. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

1           **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 35) is  
2   ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that default is entered against Defendant Alessi & Koenig.

4  
5           DATED: November 29, 2018.



---

6           **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**  
7           **United States District Judge**