

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Deborah Laine,
Plaintiff
v.
Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority,
Defendant

2:16-cv-01075-JAD-CWH

Order Denying Motions

[ECF Nos. 9, 11, 12]

10 Defendant Southern Nevada Regional Housing Authority filed a motion to dismiss or for
11 more definite statement on May 31, 2016.¹ Three weeks later, plaintiff filed an amended complaint.²
12 Rule 15(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits parties to amend their complaints
13 once as a matter of course within 21 days of a motion to dismiss.³ Plaintiff's amended complaint
14 was timely filed as of right. Once filed, an amended pleading supersedes the original pleading in its
15 entirety, mooting a motion to dismiss the original pleading.⁴

16 Because the filing of plaintiff's amended complaint has mooted the Housing Authority's
17 motion to dismiss, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Defendant's Partial Motion to Dismiss and
18 Motion for a More Definite Statement [ECF Nos. 9, 11, 12] is **DENIED** as moot and without
19 prejudice. **The hearing scheduled for July 19, 2016 at 1:30 p.m. is VACATED.**

20 Dated this 22nd day of June, 2016.

21
22 
23 Jennifer A. Dorsey
United States District Judge

24
25 ¹ ECF No. 11, 12. Another version of these documents was first filed at ECF No. 9, but that filing
did not comply with LR IC 2-2.

26 ² ECF No. 27.

27 ³ Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(B).

28 ⁴ See *Forsyth v. Humana, Inc.*, 114 F.3d 1467, 1474 (9th Cir. 1997) (overruled on other grounds in
Lacey v. Maricopa County, 693 F.3d 896, 928 (9th Cir. 2012)).