


1 party will be joined and the action will proceed. *Cundiff v. Dollar Loan Ctr. LLC*, 726 F. Supp. 2d
2 1232, 1243 (D. Nev. 2010).

3 The inquiry is fact-specific and practical. *N. Alaska Envtl. Ctr. v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 466, 468 (9th
4 Cir.1986). For this reason, it may be necessary to review evidence beyond the pleadings. *Lennar Mare*
5 *Island, LLC v. Steadfast Ins. Co.*, 139 F. Supp. 3d 1141, 1150 (E.D. Cal. 2015) (quoting *McShan v.*
6 *Sherrill*, 283 F.2d 462, 464 (9th Cir.1960). A financial stake in the outcome of the litigation does not give
7 rise to Rule 19 necessity. *Id.*

8 Plaintiff has not given sufficient information to show that Mr. Guidi must be joined as a necessary
9 party. She has identified herself as the trustee for Mr. Guidi in regard to the property at issue. *See Plaintiff's*
10 *Motion* (ECF No. 88), pg. 1. Plaintiff fails to establish that the Court cannot grant complete relief among the
11 existing parties without the inclusion of Mr. Guidi as a party. Plaintiff also represents that “[Mr.Guidi] has not
12 indicated any interest in being a Plaintiff or participating in this action in anyway...” *Id.* at pg. 6. The Court,
13 therefore, denies Plaintiff’s Motion for Joinder of Necessary Parties without prejudice. Accordingly,

14 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff’s Motion for Joinder of Necessary Parties (ECF No. 88)
15 is **denied**, without prejudice.

16 DATED this 21st day of March, 2017.

17
18 
19 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
20 United States Magistrate Judge
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28