

1  
2  
3  
4

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 NOUANSAVANH RASAVONG,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 J. RUIZ et al.,  
11 Defendants.  
12

2:16-cv-01121-JAD-GWF

## ORDER

## 13 || I. DISCUSSION

14 On January 4, 2017, this Court issued a screening order and stayed the case for ninety  
15 (90) days to permit the case to proceed to mediation. (ECF No. 8). On January 10, 2017,  
16 Plaintiff filed an amended complaint. (ECF No. 11). On January 17, 2017, the Court issued  
17 an order directing Plaintiff to indicate whether Plaintiff wished to proceed to mediation on his  
18 original complaint or to have the Court screen his amended complaint. (ECF No. 12 at 2). On  
19 January 24, 2017, Plaintiff filed a notice stating that he wished to proceed on his amended  
20 complaint and to strike his original complaint. (ECF No. 15 at 1). Plaintiff acknowledged that  
21 the screening process would start anew with his amended complaint. (*Id.*) On January 25,  
22 2017, Defendants entered a notice of limited appearance for the purposes of settlement  
23 discussions. (ECF No. 14).

24        In light of Plaintiff's notice, the amended complaint (ECF No. 11) is now the operative  
25 complaint. The Court will issue a screening order on the amended complaint in a separate  
26 order in the future. No further action is required by Defendants in this case until further order  
27 by this Court.

28 | //

1      **II. CONCLUSION**

2      For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that the Court will screen the amended  
3      complaint (ECF No. 11) in a separate order.

4      IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no further action is required by Defendants in this case  
5      until further order by this Court.

6

7      DATED: This 7th day of February, 2017.

8

9        
10     United States Magistrate Judge

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28