

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

TALMER BANK AND TRUST,
Plaintiff,
vs.
ROBBILYN FORTSON, *et al*,
Defendants.

Case No. 2:16-cv-01195-JAD-GWF

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff’s Motion for Service by Publication (ECF No. 9) and Motion to Extend Time to Effect Service (ECF No. 10), filed on August 8, 2016.

Plaintiff moves for an order granting permission to serve Defendant Robbilyn Fortson by publication. Plaintiff also requests an 45 day extension of time to effectuate service on Defendant Fortson. Plaintiff filed its Complaint on May 27, 2016. Proof of Service was due by August 25, 2016. The Court has broad discretion to extend time for service. *Efaw v. Williams*, 473 F.3d 1038, 1041 (9th Cir. 2003). The Court may extend the time for service if the plaintiff establishes that good cause exists for the failure to serve by the deadline. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Plaintiff has sufficiently demonstrated diligence in attempting to effectuate service on Defendant Fortson. Plaintiff has attempted personal service on Defendant Fortson, and has tried to locate Defendant’s address for service. Plaintiff has sufficiently demonstrated that good cause exists for its failure to effectuate service on Defendant Fortson.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e), service of summons by publication is governed by the law of the state in which the District Court is located. Nevada R. Civ. P. 4(e)(1)(i) provides that the Court may permit service by publication if, after a demonstration of due diligence, the plaintiff is unable to find the defendant within the state or the plaintiff shows that defendant is within the state

1 but avoiding the service of summons. The question of due diligence is within the Court's
2 discretion, as there is no objective, formulaic standard for determining what is, or is not, due
3 diligence under Nevada law. *Abreu v. Gilmer*, 985 P.2d 746, 749 (Nev. 1999). Instead, due
4 diligence is determined by "the qualitative efforts of a specific plaintiff seeking to locate and serve a
5 specific defendant." *Abreu*, 985 P.2d at 749. Plaintiff has demonstrated that it has diligently
6 attempted to locate and serve Defendant Fortson. Despite these efforts, Plaintiff has been unable to
7 serve Defendant. Accordingly,

8 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Service by Publication (ECF No. 9)
9 and Motion to Extend Time to Effect Service (ECF No. 10) are **granted**. Defendant Fortson may be
10 served by Plaintiff through publication of the summons and complaint in this case at least once a
11 week for four (4) consecutive weeks in the Nevada Legal News, which is a newspaper of general
12 circulation published in Las Vegas, Nevada.

13 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall deposit a copy of the summons and
14 complaint in the post office via first class certified mail, directed to Defendant Robbilyn Fortson's
15 last known physical addresses at 1284 Hart Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89106.

16 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff shall have until **October 10, 2016** to complete
17 service upon Defendant Fortson.

18 **DATED** this 8th day of August, 2016.

19
20 
21 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
22 United States Magistrate Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28