	SAO	
1	TRACY A. EGLET, ESQ.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 6419	
3	JAMES A. TRUMMELL, ESQ. Nevada Bar No.: 14127	
4	<b>EGLET ADAMS</b> 400 South 7th Street, 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Email: eservice@egletlaw.com	
6	Tel.: (702) 450-5400	
7	Fax: (702) 450-5451 Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
8	Jennifer Wyman, Bear Wyman,	
9	and the Estate of Charles Wyman	
	UNITED STATES DIST	TRICT COURT
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	DISTRICT OF 1	IL VADA
12	WESCO INSURANCE COMPANY, as subrogee of its insured, NICKELS AND DIMES	Case No. 2:16-cv-01206-JCM-EJY
13	INCORPORATED,	
14	Plaintiff,	
15		STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME FOR
16	vs.	PLAINTIFFS TO FILE REPLIES TO
17	SMART INDUSTRIES CORPORATION d/b/a SMART INDUSTRIES CORP, MFG, an Iowa	PLAINTIFFS' MOTIONS FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
18	corporation,	(First Request)
19	Defendants.	
20		
21	JENNIFER WYMAN, individually; BEAR	CONSOLIDATED WITH
22	WYMAN, a minor, by and through his natural parent JENNIFER WYMAN; JENNIFER	Case No. 2:16-cv-02378-JCM-CWH
23	WYMAN and VIVIAN SOOF, as Joint Special	
24	Administrators of the ESTATE OF CHARLES WYMAN; and SARA RODRIGUEZ, natural	
25	parent and guardian ad litem of JACOB WYMAN,	
26	Plaintiffs,	
27	vs.	
28		

SMART INDUSTRIES CORPORATION, d/b/a SMART INDUSTRIES CORP., MFG, an Iowa corporation, HI-TECH SECURITY INC., a Nevada corporation; WILLIAM ROSEBERRY; BOULEVARD VENTURES, LLC, a Nevada corporation; DOES I thought V; DOES 1 thought 10; BUSINESS ENTITIES I through V; and ROE CORPORATIONS 11 through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

HI-TECH SECURITY, INC; and WILLIAM ROSEBERRY,

Third-Party Plaintiffs,

VS.

NICKELS AND DIMES INCORPORATED,

Third-Party Defendant.

Wyman Plaintiffs' replies to: (1) Defendant Smart Industries Corporation's Opposition to Wyman Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to the Absence of Comparative Fault for Their Strict Product Liability Claim (ECF #247); (2) Defendant Smart Industries Corporation's Opposition to Wyman Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding Causation of Charles Wyman's Death (ECF #248); and (3) Defendant Smart Industries Corporation's Opposition to Wyman Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding Special Damages (ECF #249), are currently due May 1, 2020. Due to unexpected delays related to remote working as a result of COVID-19 safety precautions and limitations, and having not received Defendants' Oppositions until April 17, 2020, the parties hereby stipulate and agree that the deadline for Plaintiffs' replies shall be extended by 14 days. As such, the parties request a

## EGLET TATADAMS

Wyman v. Smart Industries Corporation, et al
Case No. 2:16-cv-02378-JCM-EJY
Stipulation and Order for Extension of Time for Plaintiffs to File Replies to Plaintiffs
Motions for Partial Summary Judgment (First Request)

briefing extension to May 15, 2020 for Wyman Plaintiffs' Replies to Defendant Smart Industrie
Corporation's Oppositions to the respective Motions for Partial Summary Judgment.

This Stipulation is submitted in good faith and is not interposed for purposes of delay. This is the first request to extend the deadline for filing replies to the Defendant Smart Industries Corporation's Oppositions to Wyman Plaintiffs' Motions for Partial Summary Judgment (ECF #247, 248 and 249).

Respectfully submitted by:

Approved as to Form and Content by:

DATED this 30th day of April, 2020.

DATED this 30th day of April, 2020.

/s/ Tracy A. Eglet, Esq.
TRACY A. EGLET, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6419
JAMES A. TRUMMELL, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 14127
EGLET ADAMS
400 South Seventh Street, Suite 400
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

/s/ Joseph R. Meservy, Esq.

DAVID BARRON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 142
WILLIAM H. PRUITT, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 6783
JOSEPH R. MESERVY, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 14088
BARRON & PRUITT, LLP
3890 West Ann Road
North Las Vegas, Nevada 89031
Attorneys for Defendant
Smart Industries Corporation

## EGLET TATAMS

Wyman v. Smart Industries Corporation, et al.

Case No. 2:16-cv-02378-JCM-EJY
Stipulation and Order for Extension of Time for Plaintiffs to File Replies to Plaintiffs'
Motions for Partial Summary Judgment (First Request)

## **ORDER**

Based upon the Stipulation of the parties hereto, and for good cause, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the Stipulation to Extend hereinabove is hereby Granted.

DATED May 1, 2020.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE