Order. Plaintiff's counsel still needs additional time to investigate and conduct additional

28

## Case 2:16-cv-01227-JAD-EJY Document 186 Filed 01/07/22 Page 2 of 2

discovery. Also, Counsel for Plaintiff and Counsel for Defendant are working on scheduling depositions as well as working through discovery issues regarding documents produced by Defendant. Counsel for Plaintiff and Counsel for Defendant require additional time to negotiate Plaintiff's request for documents, and will endeavor to avoid seeking the Court's involvement. Counsel for Plaintiff has subpoenaed multiple third parties, but is still trying to locate witnesses.

The parties stipulate that this constitutes a good cause for the requested extension under LR IA 6-1, and, therefore, request the Court extend the following deadlines so that justice may be done:

Item	Current Deadline	New Deadline
Close of discovery	January 7, 2022	April 7, 2022
Submission of Proposed Joint Pretrial Order	January 7, 2022	April 7, 2022
Dispositive Motions due	February 7, 2022	May 8, 2022
Pretrial Order	March 9, 2022	June 7, 2022*

\* Unless a dispositive motion is pending on that date thereby automatically extending the due date for the pre-trial order to 30 days after the pending dispositive motion is resolved.

DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of January 2022.

AARON D. FORD Attorney General

By: /s/ Justin V. Alper By: /s/ David A. Bailev Justin V. Alper (No. 12923C) David A. Bailey (No. 13661) Attorney for Plaintiff Bryan Dryden

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Defendant Ted Nielson

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Stipulation and Order to Extend the Close of Discovery and the Deadline to File a Proposed Joint Pretrial Order (ECF No. 185) is DENIED because the parties misstate the deadline they seek to extend. The Court refers the parties to ECF No. 175 for the correct deadlines.

Dated: January 7, 2022 Page 2

28

27

1

 $^{2}$ 

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26