1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 4 PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE Case No. 2:16-cv-01265-APG-PAL CO., *et al.*, 5 **DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST** Plaintiff(s), **DEFENDANT PELAEZ** 6 v. 7 (ECF No. 33) DANIEL CERON, et al., 8 Defendant(s). 9 10 Plaintiffs PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE COMPANY and PROGRESSIVE 11 NORTHERN INSURANCE COMPANY (collectively "Progressive") filed their motion for entry 12 of default judgment as to Uriel Pelaez. ECF No. 33. I have considered all applicable papers and 13 pleadings on file, and I find that the factors set forth in *Eitel v. McCool*, 782 F.2d 1470 (9th Cir. 14 1986) favor entry of default judgment in favor of Progressive. 15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Progressive's application for entry of default judgment 16 (ECF No. 33) is GRANTED. I declare that: 17 Defendant Uriel Pelaez's policy with Progressive was void ab initio as a result of 18 misrepresentations by Mr. Pelaez at the time of application. 19 Progressive is, therefore, not bound by the policy or law to defend or indemnify Mr. 20 Pelaez under the policy. 21 DATED this 5th day of April, 2017. 22 23 ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25 26 27 28