1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA** 3 4 PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE Case No. 2:16-cv-01265-APG-PAL CO., *et al.*, 5 DEFAULT JUDGMENT AGAINST Plaintiff(s), **DEFENDANT MARTIN VARGAS** 6 v. 7 (ECF No. 34) DANIEL CERON, et al., 8 Defendant(s). 9 10 11 Plaintiffs PROGRESSIVE DIRECT INSURANCE COMPANY and PROGRESSIVE 12 NORTHERN INSURANCE COMPANY (collectively "Progressive") filed their motion for entry 13 of default judgment as to Martin Vargas. ECF No. 34. I have considered all applicable papers 14 and pleadings on file, and I find that the factors set forth in Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470 (9th 15 Cir. 1986) favor entry of default judgment in favor of Progressive. 16 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Progressive's application for entry of default judgment 17 (ECF No. 34) is GRANTED. I declare that: 18 Defendant Martin Vargas' policy with Progressive was void ab initio as a result of 19 misrepresentations by Mr. Vargas at the time of application. 20 Progressive is, therefore, not bound by the policy or law to defend or indemnify Mr. 21 Vargas under the policy. 22 DATED this 5th day of April, 2017. 23 ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 24 25 26 27 28