1	ANDREW D. HEROLD, ESQ.			
2	Nevada Bar No. 7378 <u>aherold@heroldsagerlaw.com</u> JOSHUA A. ZLOTLOW, ESQ.			
3	Nevada Bar No. 11333			
4	jzlotlow@heroldsagerlaw.com HEROLD & SAGER			
5	3960 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 500 Las Vegas, NV 89169			
6	Tel: (702) 990-3624			
7	Fax: (702) 990-3835			
8	Attorneys for Defendant			
9	LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY			
0	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
.1	DISTRICT	OF NEVADA		
2	CENTEX HOMES, a Nevada general	CASE NO. 2:16-cv-01275-GMN-PAL		
3	partnership,			
4	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION RE BRIEFING ON LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY'S		
5	VS.	MOTION TO DISMISS [FIRST REQUEST]		
6	EVEREST NATIONAL INSURANCE			
7	COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; INTERSTATE FIRE & CASUALTY			
8	COMPANY, an Illinois corporation;			
9	LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; FINANCIAL			
0	PACIFIC INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation; HARTFORD			
1	CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, an			
2	Indiana corporation,			
3	Defendants.			
4	Plaintiff CENTEX HOMES ("Contov	") and Defendant I EXINGTON INSUPANCE		
5	Plaintiff CENTEX HOMES ("Centex") and Defendant LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY ("Lexington"), hereby submit the following Stipulation Re Briefing on Lexington's			
6	Motion to Dismiss.	Tonowing Supulation Re Difering on Lexington's		
7				
8				
		1		

1	WHEREAS, Lexington filed a Motion to Dismiss on October 10, 2016 (ECF Nos. 19 and
2	20) (the "Motion");

- WHEREAS, the Motion makes various arguments as to why Centex's claims against
 Lexington should be dismissed relying in part on the language of the Lexington policies at issue;
- 5 WHEREAS, the Motion did not include copies of the Lexington policies themselves, but
 6 rather correspondence between Lexington and Centex in which the parties disputed Centex's rights
 7 and Lexington's obligations under the policies based in part of the policy language;

8 WHEREAS, Centex and Lexington previously stipulated that Lexington would supplement
9 the Motion with copies of the insurance policies addressed therein and Centex's opposition would
10 filed and served within 10 calendar days of the filing of Lexington's supplemental papers and
11 Lexington's reply papers would be filed and served within 10 calendar days thereafter.

WHEREAS, Lexington filed copies of the policies on November 4, 2016 and Centex filed
its opposition on November 14, 2016.

WHEREAS, November 24, 2016 is Thanksgiving, counsel's offices are closed on
November 25, 2016, and counsel for Lexington will be out of the country at that time.

16 NOW, THEREFORE, Centex and Lexington, by and through their respective counsel of
17 record, hereby stipulate that Lexington shall file and serve its reply by November 28, 2016.

18 DATED: November 17, 2016

By: /s/ Sarah J. Odia (as authorized 11/17/16) SCOTT S. THOMAS, ESQ. sst@paynefears.com SARAH J. ODIA, ESQ. sjo@paynefears.com Attorneys for Plaintiff CENTEX HOMES

PAYNE & FEARS LLP

- 25 ///
- 26 /// 27 ///

///

///

28 ///

19

 $\mathbf{20}$

21

22

23

24

1	DATED: November 17, 2016 HEROLD & SAGER
2	By: Alun 17/m
3	ANDREW D. HEROLD, ESQ. aherold@heroldsagerlaw.com
4	JOSHUA A. ZLOTLOW, ESQ. jzlotlow@heroldsagerlaw.com
5	Attorneys for Defendant
6	LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY
7	IT IS SO ORDERED:
8	DATED: November 27, 2016
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	3 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING CASE NO. 2:16-cv-01275-GMN-PAL