| 1        | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |   |
|----------|--|---|
| 1<br>2   | DISTRICT OF NEVADA   |   |
| 3        |  |   |
| 4        | Brandon Kale Harris,   |   |
| 5        | Petitioner   | 2:16-cv-01305-JAD-CWH   |
| 6        | V.   | Order Granting Application to Proceed<br>in forma pauperis, Granting Motion for<br>Appointment of Counsel, and Ordering<br>Service on Respondents |
| 7        | Brian E. Williams, et al.,   |   |
| 8        | Respondents  | [ECF No. 1, 1-1, 2]   |
| 9        |  |   |
| 10       |  |   |
| 11       | Nevada state prisoner Brandon Kale Harris brings this § 2254 petition to challenge his state-  |   |
| 12       | court conviction and sentence. Harris has also submitted an application to proceed in forma  |   |
| 13       | <i>pauperis</i> <sup>1</sup> and a motion for appointment of counsel. <sup>2</sup>   |   |
| 14       | Harris's application to proceed in forma pauperis and attachments indicates that he is unable  |   |
| 15       | to pay the filing fee for this action, so I grant his application and Harris may proceed with this action  |   |
| 16       | without paying the filing fee. I have also screened Harris's petition as required by Rule 4 of the   |   |
| 17       | Rules Governing § 2254 cases in the United States district courts and find that it merits service on   |   |
| 18       | respondents, so I next consider Harris's motion for appointment of counsel.  |   |
| 19       | "Indigent state prisoners applying for habeas corpus relief are not entitled to appointed  |   |
| 20       | counsel unless the circumstances of a particular case indicate that appointed counsel is necessary to  |   |
| 21       | prevent due process violations." <sup>3</sup> But a court may appoi  | nt counsel at any stage of the proceedings "if  |
| 22       |  |   |
| 23       |  |   |
| 24       |  |   |
| 25<br>26 | <sup>1</sup> ECF No. 1.  |   |
| 20<br>27 | <sup>2</sup> ECF No. 2.  |   |
| 27       | <sup>3</sup> <i>Chaney v. Lewis</i> , 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir. 1986) (citing <i>Kreiling v. Field</i> , 431 F.2d 638, 640 (9th Cir. 1970) (per curiam). |   |
|          | Page 1 of 2  |   |
|          |  |   |

| 1        | the interests of justice so require." <sup>4</sup> It appears that relatively complex statute-of-limitations issues |  |
|----------|---|--|
| 2        | will be addressed at the outset of this case and that Harris may not be able to adequately litigate those           |  |
| 3        | issues without counsel. I therefore find that the interests of justice warrant representation and grant             |  |
| 4        | Harris's motion for appointment of counsel.   |  |
| 5        | Conclusion  |  |
| 6        | Accordingly, with good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Harris's  |  |
| 7        | application to proceed in forma pauperis [ECF No. 1] is GRANTED.  |  |
| 8        | The Clerk of Court is directed to separately file Harris's petition [ECF No. 1-1], add Adam                         |  |
| 9        | Paul Laxalt, Attorney General for the State of Nevada, as counsel for respondents, and electronically               |  |
| 10       | serve respondents with a copy of Harris's petition and a copy of this order.  |  |
| 11       | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents will have 20 days from receipt of the petition                               |  |
| 12       | to appear in this action. Respondents are not required to respond to the petition at this time.                     |  |
| 13       | IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Harris's motion for appointment of counsel [ECF No.                                      |  |
| 14       | 2] is GRANTED. The Federal Public Defender for the District of Nevada (FPD) is appointed                            |  |
| 15       | to represent Harris. If the FPD is unable to represent Harris, alternate counsel will be appointed.                 |  |
| 16       | The Clerk of Court is instructed to electronically serve the FPD with a copy of this order and                      |  |
| 17       | a copy of Harris's petition. The FPD must file a notice of appearance or indicate to the court its                  |  |
| 18       | inability to represent Harris in this case by July 4, 2016. I will issue a scheduling order after counsel           |  |
| 19       | for both parties have appeared.   |  |
| 20       | Dated this 14th day of June, 2016   |  |
| 21       | Jennifer A. Dorsey  |  |
| 22       | United States District Judge  |  |
| 23       |   |  |
| 24       |   |  |
| 25       |   |  |
| 26       |   |  |
| 27<br>28 | <sup>4</sup> See 18 U.S.C. § 3006A; see also Rule 8(c), Rules Governing § 2254 Cases; Chaney, 801 F.2d at 1196.     |  |

I

I