1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

vs.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

Plaintiff(s),

DIRECTOR OF NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:16-cv-01334-GMN-NJK ORDER

(Docket No. 1)

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff Bahrampour's application for authority pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Docket No. 1. As a general rule, to initiate a civil action, a plaintiff must submit either a filing fee or an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1914(a); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1). "Where there are multiple plaintiffs in a single action, the plaintiffs may not proceed *in forma pauperis* unless all of them demonstrate inability to pay the filing fee." *Darden v. Indymac Bancorp, Inc.*, 2009 WL 5206637, *1 (E.D. Cal. Dec. 23, 2009); *see also Anderson v. California*, 2010 WL 4316996, *1 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 27, 2010) ("although only one filing fee needs to be paid per case, if multiple plaintiffs seek to proceed *in forma pauperis*, each plaintiff must qualify for IFP status").

In this case, only Plaintiff Bahrampour has provided an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. *See* Docket No. 1. As not all Plaintiffs have submitted applications to proceed *in informa pauperis*, the Court lacks sufficient information regarding each Plaintiff to make a determination as to their financial status. Accordingly, the application to proceed *in forma paupueris* is deficient.

26

//

//

27

28 //

Base	ed on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED that:
1.	Plaintiff Bahrampour's application to proceed in forma pauperis is hereby DEN
	without prejudice.
2.	Each Plaintiff shall file a renewed application to proceed in forma pauperis on the co
	form.
3.	The Clerk's Office shall send Plaintiffs three blank in forma pauperis application for
	with instructions.
4.	In the alternative, Plaintiffs shall make the necessary arrangements to pay the filing for
	\$400, accompanied by a copy of this Order.
5.	Plaintiffs must comply with this order no later than August 22, 2016.
6.	Failure to comply will result in a recommendation to the district judge for dismissal of
	action without prejudice.
IT IS	S SO ORDERED.
Date	ed: July 20, 2016
	NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge
	Onice States Magistrate State
	2