

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

ERVIN MIDDLETON,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

OMELY TELECOM CORP.,  
Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-01369-APG-GWF

**ORDER**

14 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 8), filed  
15 on September 5, 2017.

16 On June 16, 2016, Plaintiff filed his Application for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis*.  
17 ECF No. 1. The Court granted Plaintiff's Application and screened Plaintiff's complaint pursuant  
18 to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). ECF No. 2. The Court found that Plaintiff sufficiently pled a claim under  
19 the Telephone Consumer Protection Act against Defendant Omely Telecom Corp and instructed the  
20 Clerk of the Court to file the complaint, issue summons to Defendant, and deliver the summons to  
21 the U.S. Marshal for service. On September 5, 2017, Plaintiff filed his first amended complaint  
22 without leave of court. He added new parties including an additional plaintiff and ten additional  
23 defendants. Plaintiff further alleged additional claims in his first amended complaint. The new  
24 parties and additional claims are unrelated to the allegations that the Court screened in Plaintiff's  
25 complaint. On September 15, 2017 and September 18, 2017, Plaintiff filed Erratas (ECF Nos. 16,  
26 17) to his amended complaint.


27 Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a) provides that after the time for amendment as a matter of course has  
28 expired, a party may amend its complaint only by leave of court or by the adverse party's written

1 consent. Plaintiff filed his first amended complaint without leave of court as required under Fed.  
2 R. Civ. P. 15 and it, therefore, has no legal effect. *Ritzer v. Gerovicap Pharm. Corp.*, 162 F.R.D.  
3 642, 644 (D. Nev. 1995). The Court orders, *sua sponte*, that Plaintiff's first amended complaint,  
4 the corresponding summons, and erratas to the amended complaint be stricken for failure to comply  
5 with Rule 15(a). All parties other than Plaintiff Ervin Middleton and Defendant Omely Telecom  
6 Corp. shall be removed from the CM/ECF service list. This order is without prejudice to Plaintiff  
7 obtaining proper leave of court to file an amended complaint, if he desires to do so. Accordingly,

8 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall strike Plaintiff's First Amended  
9 Complaint (ECF No. 8) and the corresponding summons (ECF Nos. 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 18).

10 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that the Clerk of Court shall strike Plaintiff's Erratas (ECF  
11 Nos. 16, 17).

12 DATED this 19th day of September, 2017.

13  
14   
15 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.  
16 United States Magistrate Judge  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28