

DANIEL G. BOGDEN  
United States Attorney  
District of Nevada

LINDSY M. ROBERTS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101  
Telephone: 702-388-6336  
Email: lindsay.roberts@usdoj.gov

*Attorneys for the United States.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

WILMA THOMPSON,	)	Case No: 2:16-cv-01533-GMN-VCF
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	ORDER GRANTING
v.	)	<b>MOTION FOR EXCEPTION</b>
LORETTA LYNCH,	)	<b>TO EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION</b>
Defendant.	)	<b>ATTENDANCE REQUIREMENT</b>

An early neutral evaluation (“ENE”) session in this matter is scheduled for March 2, 2017 at 9:30 a.m. before U.S. Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe (ECF No. 8). The Order scheduling the ENE provides that certain individuals are required to be present in person for the duration of the ENE session, including “3. All individual parties; 4. In the case of non-individual parties, an officer or representative with binding authority to settle this matter up to the full amount of the claim.” (ECF No. 8 at 1:25-2:1).

The Order further provides:

**Any request for an exception to the above attendance requirements must be filed and served on all parties within 14 days of the issuance of this order. Requests for an exception must be supported by a compelling justification for an exception. Counsel of record, individual parties, a fully-authorized representative, and a fully-authorized insurance representative shall appear in person unless the court enters an order granting a request for exception.**

ECF No. 8 at 2:5-9.

1 For the reasons set forth below, Federal Defendant respectfully moves for an order  
2 excepting the United States from the requirements of the Order requiring that individual parties  
3 (here, Attorney General Loretta Lynch, sued in her official capacity) and an officer or  
4 representative with binding authority to settle the claim up to the full amount of the claim be  
5 physically present at the ENE. Federal Defendant requests that the Court authorize Assistant  
6 United States Attorney Lindsay Roberts to attend and participate in the ENE session in person,  
7 and for permission to have agency counsel from the United States Marshals Service, located in  
8 Washington, D.C., participate by telephone. This Motion is filed within 14 days of the issuance  
9 of the Order and, as set forth fully below, is supported by a compelling justification for an  
10 exception from the Order.

### 11 **ARGUMENT**

12 The United States Supreme Court has noted that the federal government is unlike any  
13 other litigant:

14 We have long recognized that the Government is not in a position identical to that of  
15 a private litigant, both because of the geographic breadth of government litigation and  
16 also, most importantly, because of the nature of the issues the government litigates. It  
is not open to serious dispute that the government is a party to a far greater number of  
cases on a nationwide basis than even the most litigious private entity.

17 *United States v. Mendoza*, 464 U.S. 154, 159 (1984) (internal citation omitted).

18 Because the Government handles a very large number of cases, it would be impractical,  
19 if not physically impossible, for those with settlement authority for the full claim amount to  
20 prepare for and appear at all settlement conferences. *United States v. U.S. Dist. Court for the*  
21 *Northern Mariana Islands*, 694 F.3d 1051, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012) (district court abused its  
22 discretion in ordering a Government representative with full settlement authority to appear in  
23 person for an initial settlement conference). The Advisory Committee notes that accompany the  
24 1993 amendments to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 acknowledge the unique position of the  
25 Government in that regard: “Particularly in litigation in which governmental agencies . . . are  
26 involved, there may be no one with on-the-spot settlement authority, and the most that should  
27 be expected is access to a person who would have a major role in submitting a recommendation  
28 to the body or board with ultimate decision-making responsibility.” *Id.* at 1060.

1           The Government delegates settlement authority to select individuals in order to promote  
2 centralized decision-making. *Id.* at 1059. Centralized decision-making promotes three important  
3 Government objectives: (1) it allows the Government to act consistently in important cases; (2)  
4 it allows the executive branch to pursue policy goals more effectively by placing ultimate  
5 authority in the hands of a few officials; and (3) by giving authority to high-ranking officials,  
6 centralized decision-making better promotes political accountability. *Id.* at 1060 (quoting *In re*  
7 *Stone*, 986 F.2d 898, 904 (5th Cir. 1993)).

8           In light of those principles, the Ninth Circuit has determined that the courts should adopt  
9 a “practical approach” in deciding whether to require a Government representative with full  
10 settlement authority to attend a pretrial conference. *Id.* at 1061. In the Ninth Circuit’s view, the  
11 courts should consider less drastic steps, such as telephonic participation, before requiring in-  
12 person participation. *Id.* Only as a “last resort” should the District Court require an official with  
13 full settlement authority to participate in a pretrial conference in person. *Id.*

14           The ultimate authority to settle this case rests with the client agency and the United  
15 States Attorney, the Civil Division Chief, or higher ranking officials within the Department of  
16 Justice (“DOJ”), depending on whether the client agency and DOJ officials agree with the  
17 proposed resolution. 28 C.F.R. § 0.168(a). It is simply not feasible, however, for these officials  
18 to attend each and every settlement conference. Moreover, Assistant United States Attorneys  
19 routinely participate in settlement conferences in this district as sole settlement representatives  
20 for the Government. In fact, the Government has utilized this approach with much success for  
21 many years and, as a result, hundreds of cases involving the United States have settled.

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 Accordingly, Federal Defendant respectfully requests that the Court authorize Assistant  
2 United States Attorney Roberts to participate in the settlement conference in person and allow  
3 agency counsel to be available by telephone during the ENE, rather than appearing in person.  
4 AUSA Roberts will have consulted with appropriate agency representatives and DOJ officials  
5 before the ENE to obtain appropriate settlement authority.

6 Respectfully submitted this 29th day of December 2016.

7 DANIEL G. BOGDEN  
8 United States Attorney

9 /s/ Lindsay M Roberts  
10 LINDSY M. ROBERTS  
11 Assistant United States Attorney

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13  
14   
15 NANCY J. KOPPE  
16 United States Magistrate Judge

17 DATED: December 29, 2016  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28