


1 581 (D. Nev. 2013).¹ In the specific context of a motion challenging personal jurisdiction, courts are
2 more inclined to stay discovery and have found that such a motion favors a stay. *See, e.g., Hologram*
3 *USA, Inc. v. Pulse Evolution Corp.*, 2015 WL 1600768, *1 (D. Nev. Apr. 8, 2016).

4 Having reviewed the underlying motions to dismiss, the Court finds that these elements are met
5 in this case and **GRANTS** the motion to stay discovery. If the motions to dismiss are not granted in full,
6 the parties shall file a proposed discovery plan within seven days of the issuance of the order resolving
7 the first motion to dismiss that is decided.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: October 11, 2016



10 _____
11 NANCY J. KOPPE
12 United States Magistrate Judge

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 _____
26 ¹ Conducting this preliminary peek puts the undersigned in an awkward position because the assigned
27 district judge who will decide the motion to dismiss may have a different view of its merits. *See Tradebay*,
28 278 F.R.D. at 603. The undersigned’s “preliminary peek” at the merits of that motion is not intended to
prejudice its outcome. *See id.*