

1 appointed to avoid denying due process if a case is so complex or the petitioner is so uneducated
2 that he is incapable of fairly presenting his claims.⁷

3 The issues in this case are not so complex that they require appointment of counsel, and
4 as this is a renewed motion for appointment of counsel, I have previously found that McNeal
5 appears capable of adequately presenting his claims.⁸ McNeal argues that he has “extremely
6 limited access” to the prison law library and that the library has no books, only computers. He
7 acknowledges, however, that there are six computers available for legal research. McNeal also
8 argues that he needs an attorney to help him conduct discovery. But federal habeas review is
9 generally limited to the record that was before the state court that adjudicated the claim on the
10 merits.

11 McNeal has failed to show that he is incapable of representing himself, especially in light
12 of the presentation of his claims in the petition and the motion practice throughout this case. I
13 therefore find that the interests of justice do not require me to appoint counsel for McNeal, and I
14 deny his motion.

15 **B. Motion for an extension of time**

16 McNeal also requests 60 more days to respond to respondents’ motion to dismiss.⁹ This
17 is McNeal’s first request for an extension, and I find that it is made in good faith and not solely
18 for the purpose of delay. So I grant the motion.

19 **C. Motion for leave to file an exhibit under seal**

20 Respondents move for leave to file Mcneal’s pre-sentence investigation report under
21 seal.¹⁰ Good cause appearing, the motion is granted, and exhibit 32 will be considered properly
22 filed under seal. The report is a confidential document, and I find that a compelling need to
23

24 ⁷ See *Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; see also *Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th Cir. 1970).

25 ⁸ ECF No. 5.

26 ⁹ ECF No. 27.

27 ¹⁰ ECF No. 25.


1 protect sensitive information pertaining to the victim and McNeal outweighs the public interest
2 in open access to court records.¹¹

3 **Conclusion**

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that McNeal’s motion for appointment of
5 counsel [ECF No. 18] is **DENIED**, and his motion for a time extension [ECF No. 27] is
6 **GRANTED**.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondent’s motion for leave to file an exhibit under
8 seal [ECF No. 25] is **GRANTED**.

9 DATED: February 5, 2018.

10 
11 _____
12 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 ¹¹ *Kamakama v. City and County of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 2006).