

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MARCUS SHARIF MCNEAL,

Petitioner,

v.

BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, et al.,

Respondents.

Case No. 2:16-cv-01618-JAD-EJY

Order Granting Extension of Time

[ECF No. 63]

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner Marcus Sharif McNeal's unopposed first motion for extension of time [ECF No. 63] is **GRANTED**. Petitioner has until August 31, 2020, to file an amended petition.

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

McNeal initiated this habeas action nearly four years ago in July 2016.¹ Given the age of this case,² counsel for both parties are directed to prioritize the deadlines in this case over later-filed matters. **Further extensions of time are not likely to be granted absent compelling circumstances and a strong showing of good cause why an amended petition could not be filed within the extended time allowed despite the exercise of due diligence.**

Dated: June 4, 2020

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

25
26
27
28

¹ ECF No. 1.

² Habeas actions are civil actions under federal practice and are subject to the reporting requirements of the Civil Justice Reform Act of 1990 ("CJRA"), 28 U.S.C. § 471 *et seq.* The CJRA sets a three-year goal for resolution of each civil case on the merits, *id.* § 476(a)(3), and encourages "setting, at the earliest practicable time, deadlines for filing motions and a time framework for their disposition," *id.* § 473(a).