Zambrano v	. Cardenas Markets, Inc.	Do	c. 18
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	LIMITED OT ATEC DI	STRICT COURT	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	EELICITAC ZAMDDANIO	Cose No. 2:16 ov. 01650 CMNI NIIV	
11	FELICITAS ZAMBRANO,	Case No. 2:16-cv-01659-GMN-NJK	
12	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER (Darlat No. 17)	
13	V.	(Docket No. 17)	
14	CARDENAS MARKETS, INC.,		
15	Defendant(s).		
16	Pending before the Court is a motion to extend the expert and rebuttal expert disclosure		
17	deadlines. Docket No. 17. As currently requested, the parties seek an expert disclosure deadline roughly		
18	two weeks before the close of discovery and a rebuttal expert deadline nearly two months after the close		
19	of discovery. Compare Docket No. 9 with Docket No. 17. Such a schedule does not make sense.		
20	Moreover, without explanation, the parties seek a 60-day period between the expert deadlines, rather		
21	than the presumptively reasonable 30-day period. <i>Compare</i> Docket No. 17 with Local Rule 26-1(b).		
22	Accordingly, the stipulation is <b>DENIED</b> without prejudice.		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
24	DATED: October 27, 2016		
25		7 J. KOPPE States Magistrate Judge	
26	Office s	States Magistrate Judge	
27	<sup>1</sup> Similarly while the stinulation indicates it see	ks a 45-day extension it actually seeks a roughly 75-	
28	<sup>1</sup> Similarly, while the stipulation indicates it seeks a 45-day extension, it actually seeks a roughly 75-day extension with respect to the rebuttal expert deadline. <i>Compare</i> Docket No. 9 <i>with</i> Docket No. 17.		
	A Company of the Comp		1