1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 10 KELLY GUERRERO, 11 Case No. 2:16-cv-01667-GMN-NJK Plaintiff(s), 12 **ORDER** 13 VINCENT NEIL WHARTON, 14 Defendant(s). 15 Pending before the Court are two motions to seal. Docket No. 56, 65. Also pending before the 16 17 Court is an order to show cause. Docket No. 59. The Court hereby **SETS** those matters for a telephonic hearing for 9:00 a.m. on March 14, 2018. Counsel shall appear telephonically by calling the Court 18 19 conference line at 877-402-9757 at least five minutes prior to the hearing. The conference code is 6791056. In order to ensure a clear recording of the hearing, the call must be made using a land line 20 21 phone. Cell phone calls, as well as the use of a speaker phone, are prohibited. 22 As a threshold matter, the Court reminds the parties that "sealing" and "redacting" are different 23 things. Sealing involves keeping an entire document shielded from the public; redacting involves 24 placing a document on the public docket with discrete portions of that document blacked out. To the 25 extent any confidential information can be easily redacted while leaving meaningful information 26 available to the public, the Court must order that redacted versions be filed rather than sealing entire 27 documents. Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1137 (9th Cir. 2003); see also In 28

Guerrero v. Wharton

Doc. 71

re Roman Catholic Archbishop of Portland in Oregon, 661 F.3d 417, 425 (9th Cir. 2011) (the district court must "keep in mind the possibility of redacting the sensitive material"). IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: March 9, 2018 United States Magistrate Judge