Ш

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	YOSVANY RUZ LOPEZ,	Case No. 2:16-cv-01708-KJD-NJK
10	v.	ORDER
11	WARDEN R BAKER, et al.,	
12	Respondents.	
13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14	Petitioner Yosvany Ruz Lopez has submitted a <i>pro se</i> habeas corpus petition	
15	(ECF No. 1-1) and has now paid the filing fee (<i>see</i> ECF No. 6). The petition shall be	
16	dismissed without prejudice because it is wholly unexhausted.	
17	A federal court will not grant a state prisoner's petition for habeas relief until the	
18	prisoner has exhausted his available state remedies for all claims raised. Rose v.	
19	Lundy, 455 U.S. 509 (1982); 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b). A petitioner must give the state	
20	courts a fair opportunity to act on each of his claims before he presents those claims in	
21	a federal habeas petition. O'Sullivan v. Boerckel, 526 U.S. 838, 844 (1999); see also	
22	Duncan v. Henry, 513 U.S. 364, 365 (1995). A claim remains unexhausted until the	
23	petitioner has given the highest available state court the opportunity to consider the	
24	claim through direct appeal or state collateral review proceedings. See Casey v. Moore,	
25	386 F.3d 896, 916 (9th Cir. 2004); Garrison v. McCarthey, 653 F.2d 374, 376 (9th Cir.	
26	1981).	
27	Here, petitioner was convicted in a state-court case as well as in a federal case,	
28	and he seeks to challenge his sentence structure (ECF No. 1-1). He acknowledges on	
	1	

1	the face of his petition that he has not exhausted any claims in the state courts. Id.		
2	However, a challenge to the calculation or structure of his sentences may impact the		
3	date of his release from custody, which may implicate his rights under 28 U.S.C. §		
4	2254. Petitioner must first present this claim to the Nevada state courts.		
5	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk shall file and ELECTRONICALLY		
6	SERVE the petition (ECF No. 1-1) on the respondents.		
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall add Adam Paul Laxalt, Nevada		
8	Attorney General, as counsel for respondents.		
9	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED without prejudice as		
10 11	set forth in this order.		
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED.		
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and		
14	close this case.		
15			
16	DATED: 13 December 2016.		
17			
18	Deraty		
19	KENT J. DAWSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		