

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

Goldsmith v. U.S. Bank, NA	2:15-cv-00991-MMD-PAL
Bank of America v. Woodcrest HOA	2:15-cv-01193-MMD-GWF
Wells Fargo v. Tides I HOA	2:15-cv-01204-MMD-PAL
Nationstar v. Aurora Canyon HOA	2:15-cv-01308-MMD-NJK
Nationstar v. Shara Sunrise HOA	2:15-cv-01597-MMD-NJK
Nationstar v. Augusta Belford and Ellingwood HOA	2:15-cv-01705-MMD-PAL
1290 Village Walk Trust v. Bank of America	2:15-cv-01903-MMD-PAL
Bank of New York Mellon v. Log Cabin Manor HOA	2:15-cv-02026-MMD-CWH
US Bank v. Ski Way Trust	2:16-cv-00066-MMD-GWF
Bank of New York Mellon v. Imagination North	2:16-cv-00383-MMD-NJK
Bank of America v. Green Valley South	2:16-cv-00424-MMD-PAL
Bank of America v. Inspirada Community Assoc.	2:16-cv-00438-MMD-VCF
Bank of America v. Sunrise Ridge	2:16-cv-00467-MMD-CWH
Deutsche Bank v. Independence II HOA	2:16-cv-00536-MMD-VCF
Bank of America v. Inspirada Community Assoc.	2:16-cv-00605-MMD-VCF
Bank of America v. Peccole Ranch Community Assoc.	2:16-cv-00660-MMD-CWH
Nationstar v. SFR Investments Pool	2:16-cv-00703-MMD-GWF
Bank of Amierca v. Treo North and South HOA	2:16-cv-00845-MMD-NJK
Wells Fargo v. SFR Investments Pool	3:15-cv-00240-MMD-VPC
US Bank v. Thunder Properties	3:15-cv-00328-MMD-WGC
PNC Bank v. Wingfield Springs Community Assoc.	3:15-cv-00349-MMD-VPC
Nationstar v. Highland Ranch HOA	3:15-cv-00375-MMD-VPC
US Bank v. White Lake Ranch Assoc.	3:15-cv-00477-MMD-VPC
GMAT Legal Title Trust v. SFR Investments Pool	3:15-cv-00518-MMD-WGC
Bank of New York Mellon v. Thunder Properties	3:16-cv-00097-MMD-WGC
Bank of America v. North Truckee Townhomes HOA	3:16-cv-00135-MMD-VPC
Bank of America v. Grand Sierra Resort Unit Owners	3:16-cv-00146-MMD-WGC
Bank of America v. Tenaya Creek HOA	3:16-cv-00158-MMD-WGC
Bank of America v. Southwest Meadows HOA	3:16-cv-00183-MMD-VPC
Bank of America v. The Siena HOA	3:16-cv-00188-MMD-VPC
Ditech Financial v. Highland Ranch HOA	3:16-cv-00194-MMD-WGC
Bank of America v. Aspen Meadows	3:16-cv-00413-MMD-WGC
US Bank v. Fairway Pines Assoc.	3:16-cv-00446-MMD-VPC
LN Mgmt v. Gelgotas	2:15-cv-00112-MMD-CWH
Bank of New York Mellon v. Saticoy Bay	2:16-cv-00246-MMD-CWH
Bank of America v. Southern Highlands	2:16-cv-00404-MMD-NJK
Bank of America v. Spanish Bay HOA	2:16-cv-00691-MMD-VCF
Bank of America v. Aliante Master Assoc.	2:16-cv-00962-MMD-CWH
Wells Fargo v. SFT Investments Pool	2:16-cv-01069-MMD-VCF
Nationstar v. Summit Hills HOA	2:16-cv-01637-MMD-GWF
US Bank v. SFR Investments Pool	2:16-cv-01719-MMD-CWH
Bank of America v. Rainbow Bend HOA	3:15-cv-00291-MMD-WGC
Green Tree Servicing v. Rainbow Bend HOA	3:15-cv-00297-MMD-WGC
Countrywide v. Willow Hills Commons HOA	3:15-cv-00333-MMD-VPC


Bank of America v. Huffaker Hills Deutsche Bank v. Williamsburg Townehomes HOA Bank of America v. Esplanade at Damonte Ranch HOA Bank of America v. Highland Ranch HOA Bank of America v. Yorkshire Manor I HOA Ditech Financial v. TBR I US Bank v. Casoleil HOA Ditech Financial v. Dorado HOA	3:15-cv-00502-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00004-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00120-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00154-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00192-MMD-VPC 3:16-cv-00227-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00307-MMD-WGC 3:16-cv-00351-MMD-VPC
---	--

ORDER LIFTING STAY

The Court *sua sponte* imposed a temporary stay in the above referenced cases because of the potential impact of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' decision in *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, 832 F.3d 1154 (9th Cir. 2016). The stay order provides for the stay to be in effect until the mandate is issued in *Bourne Valley*. The Ninth Circuit issued the mandate on December 14, 2016, after denying a petition for en banc hearing and a petition for a stay pending the resolution of a petition for writ of certiorari. *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, No. 15-15233 (9th Cir. Dec. 14, 2016) (mandate). Furthermore, the appellee specifically cited this Court's stay order in its request for a stay of the Ninth Circuit's mandate, arguing that delaying the mandate would promote efficiency. Nevertheless, the Ninth Circuit denied appellee's motion. Thus, *Bourne Valley's* holding is binding precedent unless and until it is reversed, though such finality may not occur for months. Under these circumstances, the Court finds that a continued stay would not promote fairness or "secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination" of these stayed cases as mandated under Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Accordingly, the temporary stay will be lifted. Pending motions that were denied without prejudice when the stay was imposed may be refiled within thirty (30) days.

DATED THIS 19th day of January 2017.



MIRANDA M. DU
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE