

1 On August 10, 2017, Defendant's counsel filed a declaration regarding attendance at the
2 settlement conference. Docket No. 37. In it, counsel represents that Defendant will attend the settlement
3 conference as ordered. *Id.* at 1. Counsel further represents that his office and residence are located in
4 Oakland, California, and that he uses the office of a company for a Las Vegas address. *Id.* at 1-2.
5 Additionally, counsel represents that Defendant does not have the funds to pay for counsel to attend the
6 settlement conference and requests either permission to appear telephonically or for a continuance of
7 the settlement conference. *Id.* at 2.

8 This request, improperly filed as a declaration, is made long after the deadline for requesting an
9 exemption to the personal attendance requirements for the settlement conference. Docket No. 35 at 2.
10 Additionally, the declaration demonstrates a significant violation of the Court's Local Rules.

11 LR IA 11-1(b) applies to an attorney "who is admitted to practice in Nevada but who does not
12 maintain an office in Nevada." LR IA 11-1(b)(1). The rule requires that

13 Upon filing any pleadings or other papers in this court, an attorney who is subject to this
14 rule must either (i) associate a licensed Nevada attorney maintaining an office in Nevada
15 or (ii) designate a licensed Nevada attorney maintaining an office in Nevada for service
16 of papers, process, or pleadings required to be served on the attorney, including service
17 by hand delivery or facsimile transmission. An attorney who is admitted in Nevada but
18 does not maintain a Nevada office as identified in subsection (b)(1) must, upon initial
19 appearance, file a notice that (i) informs the court the attorney is appearing under
20 subsection (b)(1) and (ii) identifies the name and contact information of the associated
21 or designated Nevada attorney. The name and office address of the associated or
22 designated attorney must be endorsed on the pleadings or papers filed in this court, and
23 service on the associated or designated Nevada attorney will be deemed to be service on
24 the out-of-state attorney.

25 LR IA 11-1(b)(2).

26 Counsel for Defendant has represented that he is an attorney admitted in Nevada. Docket No.
27 37 at 2. As he does not maintain a Nevada office, he is in violation of LR IA 11-1(b).¹

28 Accordingly, the Court **VACATES** the August 15, 2017, settlement conference and **ORDERS**
Defendant's counsel to comply in full with LR IA 11-1(b), no later than August 30, 2017. The Court
further **ORDERS** the parties to meet and confer regarding a new date for the settlement conference. No

¹Counsel further appears to be in violation of LR IC 2-1. The address he has associated to his CM/ECF account appears not to be either his address or the address of the company whose address he has been using. See Docket; Docket No. 37.

1 later than August 21, 2017, the parties shall file a stipulation with the Court, containing five dates on
2 which all required settlement conference attendees are available to personally appear for a settlement
3 conference.

4 DATED: August 11, 2017.

5
6 
7 _____
8 NANCY J. KOPPE
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28