

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

1  
2  
3 DELORIS A. GIBSON, )  
4 )  
5 Plaintiff, )  
6 vs. )  
7 NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting )  
8 Commissioner of Social Security, )  
9 Defendant. )  
10 )

Case No.: 2:16-cv-01885-GMN-BNW

**ORDER**

11 Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of United States  
12 Magistrate Judge Brenda Weksler, (ECF No. 31), which recommends that Plaintiff Deloris  
13 Gibson’s Motion to Remand, (ECF No. 25), be granted. The R&R further recommends that  
14 Defendant Commissioner Nancy Berryhill’s Cross-Motion to Affirm the Agency Decision,  
15 (ECF No. 26), be denied.

16 A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a  
17 United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B);  
18 D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo  
19 determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject,  
20 or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge.  
21 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is  
22 not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an  
23 objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized  
24 that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation  
25 where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., *United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,

1 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

2 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so, August 15, 2019, has passed.  
3 (Min. Order, ECF No. 31).

4 Accordingly,

5 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 31), is  
6 **ACCEPTED AND ADOPTED in full.**

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motion to Remand, (ECF No. 25), is  
8 **GRANTED.**

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Defendant's Cross-Motion to Affirm the Agency  
10 Decision, (ECF No. 26), is **DENIED.**

11 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **REMANDED** to the Social Security  
12 Administration.

13 The Clerk is instructed to close the case.

14  
15 **DATED** this 10 day of September, 2019.

16  
17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge  
20 United States District Court  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25