3	l
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5	Scott S. Thomas, NV Bar No. 7937 sst@paynefears.com Sarah J. Odia, NV Bar No. 11053 sjo@paynefears.com PAYNE & FEARS LLP 7251 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 525 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Telephone: (702) 851-0300 Facsimile: (702) 851-0315		
6	Attorneys for CENTEX HOMES		
7 8	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
10	CENTEX HOMES, a Nevada general	Case No.: 2:16-cv-01958-JAD-VCF	
11 12	partnership, Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING ON LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY'S MOTION TO DISMISS	
13	V.	(ECF NO. 43)	
14	NAVIGATORS SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, a New York corporation;	[FIRST REQUEST]	
15 16	EVEREST NATIONAL INSURANCE COMPANY, a Delaware corporation; INTERSTATE FIRE & CASUALTY	ECF Nos. 43, 44, 47	
17	COMPANY, an Illinois corporation; LEXINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY, a		
18	Delaware corporation; FEDERAL INSURANCE COMPANY, an Indiana		
19	corporation; and UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYDS LONDON, an England		
20	corporation; ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY, a Connecticut		
21	corporation,		
22	Defendants.		
23	Disintiff Contay Hamas ("Contay") and F	Onformation I over the Individual Commencer	
24	Plaintiff Centex Homes ("Centex") and D	Determant Lexington Insurance Company	

25 || ("Lexington"), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby submit this stipulation regarding briefing on Lexington's Motion to Dismiss Centex's Complaint (ECF No. 43).

WHEREAS, Lexington filed a motion to dismiss on January 6, 2017 (ECF No. 43);

WHEREAS, the motion makes various arguments as to why Centex's claims against

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Lexington should be dismissed, relying upon the language of the Lexington policies at issue; WHEREAS, the motion did not include copies of the policies themselves, but rather correspondence between Lexington and Centex in which the parties disputed Centex's rights and

WHEREAS, Centex has requested copies of the policies in order to respond to the motion; WHEREAS, Lexington is working towards obtaining certified copies of the insurance policies and intends to supplement the motion with these certified copies;

WHEREAS, Lexington and Centex agree that it would be best for this court to address the issue of the property interpretation of the language of the Lexington policies with complete copies of the Lexington policies in the court's record;

NOW THEREFORE, Centex and Lexington, by and through their respective counsel of records, hereby STIPULATE and AGREE that Lexington shall supplement the Motion with certified copies of the insurance policies addressed therein and that Centex's response to the Motion shall be filed and served within 15 calendar days of the filing of Lexington's supplemental papers and Lexington's reply papers shall be filed and served within 10 calendar days thereafter.

DATED: January 12, 2017 PAYNE & FEARS LLP

Lexington's obligations under the policies;

By /s/ Sarah J. Odia SCOTT S. THOMAS, NV Bar No. 7937 SARAH J. ODIA, NV Bar No. 11053 7251 W. Lake Mead Blvd., Suite 525 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Tel. (702) 851-0300

Attorneys for CENTEX HOMES

3 of 3