1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
7		
8	MEREDITH O'FLAHERTY,	Case No. 2:16-cv-01985-JCM-NJK
9	Petitioner,	ORDER
10	V.	
11	WARDEN NEVEN, et al.,	
12	Respondents.	
13	This action is a pro se petition for a writ of habeas corpus filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
14	2254 by a Nevada state prisoner. Petitioner has paid the filing fee for this action. (ECF No. 6).	
15	The petition shall now be filed and served on respondents, as set forth at the conclusion of this	
16	order.	
17	Petitioner has filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. (ECF No. 2). Pursuant	
18	to 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(2)(B), the district court has discretion to appoint counsel when it determines	
19	that the "interests of justice" require representation in a habeas corpus case. Petitioner has no	
20	constitutional right to appointed counsel in a federal habeas corpus proceeding. Pennsylvania v.	
21	Finley, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); Bonin v. Vasquez, 999 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir. 1993). The	
22	decision to appoint counsel is within the Court's discretion. Chaney v. Lewis, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196	
23	(9th Cir. 1986), cert. denied, 481 U.S. 1023 (1987); Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th	
24	Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 838 (1984). The petition on file in this action is sufficiently clear in	
25	presenting the issues that petitioner wishes to bring. The issues in this case are not complex. The	
26	appointment of counsel is not justified in this instance. The motion is denied. Petitioner has	
27	filed a motion to compel the production of medical records. (ECF No. 3). In habeas corpus actions,	
28	discovery is regulated by Rule 6 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases.	

Rule 6 provides that discovery in habeas corpus actions may be invoked only after
obtaining leave of court and upon a showing of good cause. Rule 6(a) states: "A judge may, for
good cause, authorize a party to conduct discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and
may limit the extent of discovery." This habeas action is in its early stages and petitioner has not
shown good cause. Petitioner's motion is denied, without prejudice to raising the issue later in
this case, if good cause exists.

7 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the clerk shall FILE and ELECTRONICALLY
8 SERVE the petition upon the respondents. The clerk of court SHALL ADD attorney general
9 Adam Paul Laxalt to the CM/ECF docket sheet as counsel for respondents.

10 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from the entry of this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their answer 11 12 or other response, respondents shall address all claims presented in the petition. Respondents shall raise all potential affirmative defenses in the initial responsive pleading, including lack of 13 exhaustion and procedural default. Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained. If an 14 15 answer is filed, respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing 16 Proceedings in the United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is filed, 17 petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days from the date of service of the answer to file a reply.

18 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any state court record exhibits filed by respondents
19 shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the exhibits by number or letter. The
20 hard copy of all state court record exhibits shall be forwarded, for this case, to the staff attorneys
21 in the Reno division of the clerk of court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for the appointment of counsel
(ECF No. 2) is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion to compel production of medical
records (ECF No. 3) is DENIED.

Dated this 28th day of February, 2017.

uns C. Mahan

JAMES C. MAHAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28

27

26