UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BROOK M. HURD, et al., Plaintiff(s), vs. CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, et al., Defendant(s).	Case No. 2:16-cv-02011-GMN-NJK ORDER (Docket No. 27)

Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulated proposed discovery plan and scheduling order. Docket No. 27. The parties request a partial stay of discovery pending the resolution of Defendants' motion to dismiss. *Id.* at 3. In the alternative, the parties propose a discovery plan with longer time periods than the presumptively reasonable time periods set forth in LR 26-1(b). *Id.* at 4.

Courts have broad discretionary power to control discovery. *See, e.g.*, *Little v. City of Seattle*, 863 F.2d 681, 685 (9th Cir. 1988). The mere pendency of a dispositive motion is insufficient to stay discovery. *See, e.g.*, *Kor Media Grp., LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013). Rather, "a party seeking to stay discovery carries the heavy burden of making a strong showing why discovery should be denied." *Id.* The parties in this case have not met this burden, as they have not even addressed the relevant standards. *See id.*; *see also* Docket No. 27. However, the parties have demonstrated good cause for the Court to grant the discovery plan that they have proposed in the alternative. *See id.* at 2-3.

Accordingly, the parties' stipulated proposed discovery plan and scheduling order, Docket No. 27, is **GRANTED** to the extent that it is consistent with this order. The discovery deadlines are as follows:

• Discovery cut-off date:	November 1, 2017
• Amending the pleadings and adding parties:	August 1, 2017
• Initial expert disclosures:	September 1, 2017
• Rebuttal expert disclosures:	October 2, 2017
• Dispositive motions:	December 1, 2017
• Proposed joint pretrial order:	January 2, 2018, or 30 days after the Court rules on any dispositive motions or further Court order

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 30, 2017

NANCY J. KOPPE
United States Magistrate Judge