

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

LHF PRODUCTIONS, INC.,)	Case No. 2:16-cv-02028-JAD-NJK
Plaintiff(s),)	ORDER
vs.)	(Docket No. 35)
JAKE ANDERSON, et al.,)	
Defendant(s).)	

Pending before the Court is the order for Plaintiff to show cause why certain defendants should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Docket No. 35.¹ In particular, that order noted that the amended complaint continued to identify 10 Doe Defendants despite more than eight months in which Plaintiff could conduct discovery, and further that no action had been taken against a named Defendant (John Koehly) who had been served but had not yet appeared. *Id.* Plaintiff has now filed a response. Docket No. 51.


Following the issuance of the order to show cause, Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed the remaining Doe Defendants. Docket No. 39. As such, that issue is now moot. Also following the issuance of the order to show cause, Plaintiff has moved forward with its claims against Mr. Koehly by obtaining Clerk’s default. Docket No. 46. Given the circumstances, the Court will discharge the order to show cause with respect to Mr. Koehly.

¹ A second order to show cause was issued in this case. Docket No. 33. Plaintiff was given an extension to respond to that order to show cause, Docket No. 49, and it remains pending. This order does not resolve the issues raised therein.

1 For the foregoing reasons, the pending order to show cause at Docket No. 35 is hereby
2 **DISCHARGED.**

3 IT IS SO ORDERED

4 Dated: May 12, 2017



5 _____
6 NANCY J. KOPPE
7 United States Magistrate Judge

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28