1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

vs.

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

LOUIS A. CARDINALI,

Plaintiff(s),

PLUSFOUR, INC., et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:16-cv-02046-JAD-NJK ORDER (Docket No. 47)

Pending before the Court is Defendant Experian's motion to transfer pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). Docket No. 47. Plaintiff filed a response and Experian filed a reply. *See* Docket Nos. 51, 53. Experian filed supplemental authority, *see* Docket No. 55, *see also* Docket No. 56 (allowing supplemental authority), and Plaintiff has filed a response to the supplemental authority, Docket No. 59. The Court hereby **SETS** a hearing on the motion for 1:00 p.m. on September 25, 2017, in Courtroom 3D.<sup>1</sup>

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 22, 2017

NANCY J. KOPPE

United States Magistrate Judge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Deciding a motion to transfer pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a) is a matter within the authority of a magistrate judge. *See, e.g., Pavao v. Unifund CCR Partners*, 934 F. Supp. 2d 1238, 1241 n.1 (S.D. Cal. 2013) (collecting cases).