

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

DANIEL ALFRED FARMER-KIEFE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

HARRY REID, et al.,

Defendants.

2:16-cv-02094-APG-VCF

ORDER

11 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Enlargement of Time to Serve Complaint Upon
12 Defendants Sereno, Mondoza, and Newton. (ECF No. 21).

13 Due to relocation from Desert Correctional Center to High Desert State Prison, Plaintiff asserts
14 that he is unable to timely serve Defendants Sereno, Mondoza, and Newton. Id at 2.

15 **Extension For Service**

16 Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) requires a defendant to be served within 90 days after the complaint is filed.
17 If "the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure [to serve a defendant within that time-frame], the court
18 must extend the time for service for an appropriate period." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

19 Plaintiff asserts that additional time is required to effect service, and asks this court to extend the
20 4(m) deadline an additional 60 days. (ECF No. 21 at 4). The Complaint was filed on August 7, 2017.
21 (ECF No. 6).

22 Under LR 7-2(d), [t]he failure of an opposing party to file points and authorities in response to any
23 motion, except a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 or a motion for attorney's fees, constitutes a consent to
24 the granting of the motion. Here, no opposition has been filed. It would seem that the other appearing
25 defendants have consented to the granting of the instant motion.

