

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

1 ANSHU PATHAK,)
 2)
 3)
 4)
 5 Plaintiff,)
 6 vs.)
 7)
 8 SPECIALTY MEATS AND GOURMET)
 9 LLC,)
 10)
 11)
 12 Defendant.)
 13)
 14)
 15)
 16)
 17)
 18)
 19)
 20)
 21)
 22)
 23)
 24)
 25)

Case No.: 2:16-cv-02124-GMN-NJK

ORDER

Pending before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable United States Magistrate Judge Nancy J. Koppe, (ECF No. 28), which recommends that Plaintiff Anshu Pathak’s (“Plaintiff”) renewed Motion for Default Judgment, (ECF No. 24), be denied and that claims against Defendant Specialty Meats and Gourmet LLC (“Defendant”) be dismissed.

A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a United States Magistrate Judge made pursuant to Local Rule IB 1-4. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); D. Nev. R. IB 3-2. Upon the filing of such objections, the Court must make a de novo determination of those portions to which objections are made. *Id.* The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the Magistrate Judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, the Court is not required to conduct “any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection.” *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See, e.g., *United States v. Reyna–Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1122 (9th Cir. 2003).

1 Here, no objections were filed, and the deadline to do so has passed.

2 Accordingly,


3 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation, (ECF No. 28), is
4 **ACCEPTED and ADOPTED** in full.

5 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's renewed Motion for Default Judgement,
6 (ECF No. 24), is **DENIED**.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims against Defendant are
8 **DISMISSED**.

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in light of the dismissal of claims against the last
10 remaining defendant, the Clerk of Court is instructed to close the case.

11
12 **DATED** this 29 day of January, 2018.

13
14
15
16 
17 _____
18 Gloria M. Navarro, Chief Judge
19 United States District Court
20
21
22
23
24
25