1		
2		
2		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
5	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
6	***	
7		Com No. 2.16 CV 2127 ICM (CWIII)
8	JAMES M. DIDIER,	Case No. 2:16-CV-2127 JCM (CWH)
9	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER
10		
10	NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE LLC, et al.,	
11	Defendant(s).	
12		
13 14	Presently before the court is Magistrate Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation (ECF	
	No. 10) that plaintiff James Didier's ("plaintiff") motion to proceed in forma pauperis be granted	
15	(ECF No. 5). No objections have been filed, and the deadline for filing objections has since passed.	
16	This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or	
17	recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects	
18	to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo	
19	determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made."	
20	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
21	Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at	
22	all of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149	
23	(1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a	
24	magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. See United	
25	States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review	
26	employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no	
27	objections were made).	
28		

1	No party has objected to the report and recommendation. Nevertheless, this court finds it	
2	appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine whether to adopt the recommendation of	
3	the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation and underlying briefs, the court finds	
4	that good cause appears to adopt the magistrate judge's findings. Accordingly, the court will grant	
5	plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis and dismiss plaintiff's complaint with leave to	
6	amend for failure to articulate the court's jurisdictional grounds.	
7	Accordingly,	
8	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Magistrate Judge	
9	Hoffman's report and recommendation (ECF No. 10) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED in	
10	its entirety.	
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF	
12	No. 5) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.	
13	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's complaint (ECF Nos. 1, 11) be, and the same	
14	hereby is, DISMISSED without prejudice consistent with the foregoing.	
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall have 30 days from the entry of this order	
16	to file an amended complaint with the court.	
17	DATED April 2, 2018.	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
Iahan		