

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

9
10 TOLAVIUS TIMMONS,
11 Plaintiff(s),

12 v.

13 LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE
14 DEPARTMENT, et al.,
Defendant(s).

Case No.: 2:16-cv-02229-JCM-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 66]

15 Pending before the Court is Defendant LVMPD's motion to extend the dispositive motion
16 deadline by 30 days. Docket No. 66.¹ Requests to extend deadlines in the scheduling order require
17 a showing of "good cause," Local Rule 26-4, which turns on whether the subject deadline cannot
18 be met despite the diligence of the movant, see, e.g., Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975
19 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992). The instant motion is predicated almost exclusively on the fact that
20 counsel's assistant's employment was terminated on October 31, 2019. Docket No. 66 at 4. The
21 motion also references discussions by Defendants regarding NaphCare potentially assuming
22 LVMPD's defense. See *id.* Such reasons do not justify a 30-day extension. Instead, the Court
23 finds that they justify a 14-day extension.²

24
25 ¹ The motion indicates that it is the first extension request, but the dispositive motion
26 deadline has been extended three times previously. In granting the last extension, the Court
indicated that it was "**not inclined to grant further extensions.**" Docket No. 59 at 2 (emphasis
in original).

27 ² The motion makes vague reference to the potential for reopening discovery deadlines.
28 See, e.g., Docket No. 66 at 4. No showing is made to justify such relief. To the extent the motion
includes an implicit request to reopen discovery, that request is **DENIED.**

1 Accordingly, the pending motion to extend is **GRANTED** in part and **DENIED** in part.
2 The dispositive motion deadline is **EXTENDED** to November 26, 2019. All other deadlines
3 remain unchanged.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: November 12, 2019

6 
7 _____
8 Nancy J. Koppe
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28