

KIM GILBERT EBRON
7625 DEAN MARTIN DRIVE, SUITE 110
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89139
(702) 485-3300 FAX (702) 485-3301

1 DIANA CLINE EBRON, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 10580
2 E-mail: diana@KGELegal.com
JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ.
3 Nevada Bar No. 10593
E-mail: jackie@KGELegal.com
4 KAREN L. HANKS, ESQ.
Nevada Bar No. 9578
5 E-mail: karen@KGELegal.com
KIM GILBERT EBRON
6 7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110
Las Vegas, Nevada 89139
7 Telephone: (702) 485-3300
Facsimile: (702) 485-3301
8 Attorneys for SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

11 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., a national
banking association,

Case No. 2:16-cv-02230-RFB-VCF

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
ENLARGE TIME FOR SFR
INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC TO REPLY
TO RESPONSE [DKT 42] TO ITS
MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY
JUDGMENT [DKT 38]
(First Request for This Brief)**

14 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a
Nevada limited liability company;
15 MONTAGNE MARRON COMMUNITY
ASSOCIATION, a Nevada non-profit
16 corporation; and RODRIGO J. COLOMA, an
individual;

17 Defendants.

18 SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC, a
19 Nevada limited liability company,

20 Counter/Cross Claimant,

21 vs.

22 JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A., a national
23 banking association; RODRIGO J. COLOMA,
24 an individual,

25 Counter/Cross Defendant.

26 Pursuant to LR IA 6-1, LR IA 6-2, and LR 7-1, Defendant/Counterclaimant SFR
27 Investments Pool 1, LLC (“SFR”) and Plaintiff/Counter-defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.
28 (“Chase”), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree to allow SFR an

1 additional two weeks (14 days) to file its Reply to Chase’s Response in Opposition to SFR’s
2 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Regarding a Pure Issue of Law: Application of the Return
3 Doctrine Post-Bourne Valley (“Chase’s Resp. to Partial MSJ.”) [Dkt 42]. Specifically, the
4 Parties stipulate to the following:

- 5 1. SFR’s current date to file a Reply to Chase’s Resp. to Partial MSJ is February 15, 2017.
- 6 2. The Parties agree that SFR may have a two-week extension such that SFR’s Reply to
7 Chase’s Resp. to Partial MSJ shall be due on or before March 1, 2017.
- 8 3. SFR requests the enlargement of the briefing schedule due to the novel issues raised in
9 the Partial MSJ and Chase’s Resp. to Partial MSJ.
- 10 4. The briefing schedule was enlarged once for Chase’s Resp. to Partial MSJ by stipulation
11 and order entered on January 11, 2017 [Dkt. 41]. This is the first request for an enlargement of
12 time for this brief following Chase’s filing of its Resp. to Partial MSJ.

13 ...
14 ...
15 ...
16 ...
17 ...
18 ...
19 ...
20 ...
21 ...
22 ...
23 ...
24 ...
25 ...
26 ...
27 ...
28 ...

