

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

AMANDA LEA SEXTON,
Plaintiff,
v.
CLARK COUNTY DETENTION CENTER et
al.,
Defendants.

2:16-cv-02289-RFB-VCF

ORDER

I. DISCUSSION

On November 21, 2016, the clerk’s office docketed this Court’s November 18, 2016 screening order on Plaintiff’s second amended complaint.¹ (ECF No. 5). On November 23, 2016, the clerk’s office docketed Plaintiff’s “first” amended complaint dated November 16, 2016. (ECF No. 6). Based on the dates of the two documents, it appears that Plaintiff submitted her “first” amended complaint prior to receiving this Court’s screening order.

The Court will not screen Plaintiff’s “first” amended complaint. (ECF No. 6). Instead, if Plaintiff seeks to file an amended complaint, she must comply with this Court’s November 18, 2016 screening order and file a “third” amended complaint within thirty (30) days from the date of this order. If Plaintiff chooses not to file a third amended complaint, this action will proceed on the second amended complaint (ECF No. 3) on Count II (Chavez, Robinson, Adams, and Niswonger), Counts III and IV (Adams, Gregg, Niswonger, M. Smith, Acevedo, Coker, Hogan, Delacruz, Goins, Macsucel, Cadet, C. Smith, Lombardo, and Williamson), Count V (Doe Defendants), Count VI (County of Clark Nevada, City of Las Vegas, LVMPD,

¹ This is a severed case. The Court used the second amended complaint from the original case as the operative complaint in this case. (See ECF No. 1).

1 Lombardo, and Yannis), and Count VII (Adams, Gregg, Niswonger, M. Smith, Acevedo, Coker,
2 Hogan, Delacruz, Goins, Macsucel, Cadet, C. Smith, Lombardo, Williamson, and Moers) only.

3 **II. CONCLUSION**

4 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS ORDERED that if Plaintiff chooses to file a third
5 amended complaint curing the deficiencies of her second amended complaint, as outlined in
6 the November 18, 2016 screening order, Plaintiff shall file the third amended complaint within
7 thirty (30) days from the date of entry of this order.

8 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall send to Plaintiff the
9 approved form for filing a § 1983 complaint, instructions for the same, and a copy of the
10 November 18, 2016 screening order (ECF No. 5). If Plaintiff chooses to file a third amended
11 complaint, she must use the approved form and she shall write the words "Third Amended"
12 above the words "Civil Rights Complaint" in the caption.

13 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if Plaintiff chooses not to file a third amended
14 complaint curing the stated deficiencies of the second amended complaint (ECF No. 3), this
15 action shall proceed on the second amended complaint's Count II (Chavez, Robinson, Adams,
16 and Niswonger), Counts III and IV (Adams, Gregg, Niswonger, M. Smith, Acevedo, Coker,
17 Hogan, Delacruz, Goins, Macsucel, Cadet, C. Smith, Lombardo, and Williamson), Count V
18 (Doe Defendants), Count VI (County of Clark Nevada, City of Las Vegas, LVMPD, Lombardo,
19 and Yannis), and Count VII (Adams, Gregg, Niswonger, M. Smith, Acevedo, Coker, Hogan,
20 Delacruz, Goins, Macsucel, Cadet, C. Smith, Lombardo, Williamson, and Moers) only.

21
22 DATED: This 28th day of December, 2016.

23
24 
25 _____
26 United States Magistrate Judge
27
28