

1 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
2 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

3 ***

4 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,
5 Plaintiff,

6 vs.

7 SOLERA at STALLION MOUNTAIN UNIT
8 OWNERS' ASSOCIATION, et al.,
9 Defendants.

2:16-cv-02339-JCM-VCF

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CERTIFY
A QUESTION OF LAW TO NEVADA'S
SUPREME COURT (ECF No. 16)

10 Before the court is the Motion to Certify a Question of Law to Nevada's Supreme Court. (ECF
11 No. 16).

12 Movant proposes certification of the following question: Whether NRS § 116.31168(1)'s
13 incorporation of NRS § 107.090 requires homeowners' associations to provide notices of default to banks
14 even when a bank does not request notice. Movant argues that an answer to this question will be
15 determinative of part of this case and will settle important questions of law.

16 As movant notes in its points and authorities, the Ninth Circuit construed NRS § 116.31168(1)'s
17 incorporation of NRS § 107.090 as not requiring associations "to provide notice of default to mortgage
18 lenders even absent a request . . ." Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 832 F.3d 1154,
19 1159 (9th Cir. 2016). Movant argues that the Ninth Circuit incorrectly "acted as though there is not
20 controlling Nevada precedent on NRS § 116.31168(1)'s meaning." (ECF No. 16 at p. 2-3).

21 Movant cites no authority for this court to review the soundness of decisions rendered by the Ninth
22 Circuit. On this record, the certification requested would not be appropriate. Given the volume of state
23 court litigation activity concerning these super-priority lien disputes, it is hard to see how certification of
24 this question is necessary.

25 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's Motion to Certify a

1 Question of Law to Nevada's Supreme Court. (ECF No. 16) is DENIED.

2 DATED this 8th day of December, 2016.



3 _____
4 CAM FERENBACH
5 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25