

1 appears to be a self-executed money order purporting to be drawn on the United States Treasury,
2 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, D.C. for payment to Defendant. *See Defendant's*
3 *Response* (ECF No. 17-1), Exhibit. A. Plaintiff also sent a similar self-executed money order
4 purported to be drawn on the United States Treasury to the United States Treasury. *Id.* at ECF No.
5 17-2, Exhibit B. As such, Defendant argues that Plaintiff's proposed amendments are futile because
6 her allegations fail to meet the applicable pleading standard. *Id.* at pg. 8-9. The Court agrees.
7 Plaintiff fails to rebut the assertion that her purported payment was unlawful and her proposed
8 amendments rely on failed legal theories. Defendant, therefore, demonstrates that Plaintiff's
9 proposed amendment is futile. Accordingly,

10 **IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED** that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Amend
11 Complaint (ECF No. 16) be **denied**.

12 DATED this 5th day of May, 2017.

13
14 
15 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
16 United States Magistrate Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28