motion as ordered. The willful failure of Plaintiff to comply with the Court's orders is an abusive litigation practice that has interfered with the Court's ability to hear this case, delayed litigation,

28

disrupted the Court's timely management of its docket, wasted judicial resources, and threatened the integrity of the Court's orders and the orderly administration of justice. Sanctions less drastic than dismissal are unavailable because Plaintiff has wilfully refused to comply with the Court's orders, even after it was clear that dismissal sanctions could result therefrom. See Docket No. 19.

Accordingly, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that this case be **DISMISSED**.

DATED: October 24, 2017

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge

NOTICE

Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2 any objection to this Report and Recommendation must be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court within (14) days after service of this Notice. The Supreme Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the failure to file objections within the specified time. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985), reh'g denied, 474 U.S. 1111 (1986). This Circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983).