1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 JEFF H. WILLIAMSON. Case No. 2:16-cv-02489-APG-CWH 5 Plaintiff, ORDER ON REPORT AND 6 RECOMMENDATION v. 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., (ECF. No. 6) 8 Defendants. 9 On October 16, 2017, Magistrate Judge Hoffman entered a report and recommendation 10 11 that I dismiss this case without prejudice because plaintiff Jeff Williamson did not pay the filing fee or file a renewed application to proceed in forma pauperis as directed. ECF No. 6. 12 13 Williamson did not file an objection. Thus, I am not obligated to conduct a de novo review of the 14 report and recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (requiring district courts to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings to which objection is 15 made"); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) ("the 16 17 district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)). I nevertheless conducted a de novo 18 19 review. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Judge Hoffman sets forth the proper legal analysis and factual basis for the decision. 20 21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judge Hoffman's report and recommendation (ECF 22 No. 6) is accepted. Plaintiff Jeff Williamson's complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice. The clerk of court is instructed to close this case. 23 24 DATED this 21st day of November, 2017. 25 26 27 ANDREW P. GORDON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 28