

1 In response, Plaintiff Amarin submits that the parties have not completed their claim construction
2 briefs. Docket No. 99 at 2. Plaintiff Amarin also submits that if all the parties cannot attend the
3 settlement conference in person, that the settlement conference should be vacated and scheduled after
4 an order has been issued on the claim construction. *Id.* Additionally, Defendant West-Ward submits
5 that it does not oppose vacating the settlement conference and rescheduling it after an order has been
6 issued on the claim construction, nor does it oppose proceeding with the settlement conference without
7 all parties present. Docket No. 100 at 2. Further, Defendant Dr. Reddy submits that it does not oppose
8 vacating the settlement conference and rescheduling it after an order has been issued on the claim
9 construction. Docket No. 101 at 2. In reply, Teva notes that no party has objected to postponing the
10 currently-scheduled settlement conference. Docket No. 104 at 2. Teva reiterates its position that the
11 settlement conference will be more productive after the Court has issued a claim construction ruling.
12 *Id.*

13 Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court **GRANTS** Defendant Teva's motion. Docket No.
14 95. The Court hereby **VACATES** the settlement conference on January 8, 2018. Docket No. 92. The
15 Court will set a post-claim construction settlement conference after a claim construction order has been
16 issued.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: December 18, 2017

19 
20 _____
21 NANCY J. KOPPE
22 United States Magistrate Judge
23
24
25
26
27
28