

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 ***

4 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,
5 Plaintiff,

6 vs.

7 BACARA RIDGE ASSOCIATION, *et al.*,
8 Defendants.

2:16-cv-02533-RFB-VCF

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CERTIFY
A QUESTION OF LAW TO NEVADA'S
SUPREME COURT (ECF No. 22)

9 Before the court is the Motion to Certify a Question of Law to Nevada's Supreme Court. (ECF
10 No. 22).

11 Movant proposes certification of the following question: Whether NRS § 116.31168(1)'s
12 incorporation of NRS § 107.090 requires homeowners' associations to provide notices of default to banks
13 even when a bank does not request notice. Movant argues that an answer to this question will be
14 determinative of part of this case and will settle important questions of law.

15 As movant notes in its points and authorities, the Ninth Circuit construed NRS § 116.31168(1)'s
16 incorporation of NRS § 107.090 as not requiring associations "to provide notice of default to mortgage
17 lenders even absent a request . . ." *Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 832 F.3d 1154,
18 1159 (9th Cir. 2016). Movant argues that the Ninth Circuit incorrectly "acted as though there is not
19 controlling Nevada precedent on NRS § 116.31168(1)'s meaning." (ECF No. 22 at p. 3).

20 Movant cites no authority for this court to review the soundness of decisions rendered by the Ninth
21 Circuit. On this record, the certification requested would not be appropriate. Given the volume of state
22 court litigation activity concerning these super-priority lien disputes, it is hard to see how certification of
23 this question is necessary.

24 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC's Motion to Certify a
25

1 Question of Law to Nevada's Supreme Court. (ECF No. 22) is DENIED.

2 DATED this 5th day of January, 2017.



3 CAM FERENBACH
4 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25