6605 GRAND MONTECITO PARKWAY, SUITE 200 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89149 (702) 384-7000 FAX (702) 385-7000

1	ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS
2	KURT R. BONDS, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar #6228
3	ADAM R. KNECHT, ESQ.
	Nevada Bar #13166
4	6605 GRAND MONTECITO PARKWAY
5	SUITE 200
	LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89149
6	(702) 384-7000
	efile@alversontaylor.com
7	Attorney for STAR HILL HOA
8	UNITED STA

TES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

*

THE BANK OF NEW YORK MELLON FKA THE BANK OF NEW YORK, AS TRUSTEE FOR THE CERTIFICATEHOLDERS CWABS, INC. ASSET-BACKED CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2006-6,

Plaintiff,

VS.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STAR HILL HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION; SBW INVESTMENT, LLC; NEVADA ASSOCIATION SERVICES, INC.; and SFR INVESTMENTS POOL 1, LLC

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 2:16-cv-02561-RFB-PAL

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO EXTEND DISCOVERY **DEADLINES**

(First Request)

Plaintiff The Bank of New York fka The Bank of New York, as Trustee for the Certificateholders CWABS, Inc. Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2006-6 (BNYM); and defendant SFR Investments Pool 1, LLC (SFR); and defendant Star Hill HOA (HOA), through their undersigned counsel of record, do hereby stipulate and agree that the current discovery cutoff date of March 18, 2019 be continued for a period of four (4) days up and including March 22, 2019 as follows: ///

1

KB/24462

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. **DISCOVERY COMPLETED:**

Initial Disclosures: 1.

Plaintiff served their initial disclosures on Plaintiff on December 14, 2017; Plaintiff has subsequently served various supplements to its initial disclosures

Defendant SFR served Initial Disclosures on February 12, 2018; Defendant SFR has subsequently served various supplements to its initial disclosures;

Defendant Star Hills served its initial disclosures on Defendants on March 18, 2019.

Defendant Nevada Association Services has not appeared.

2. Written Discovery:

Plaintiff has served its Requests for Interrogatories, its Requests for Production of Documents, and Requests for Admissions to Defendants.

Defendants have served their answers to Plaintiff's Requests for Interrogatories, its Requests for Production of Documents, and Requests for Admissions.

Defendant SFR has served its Requests for Interrogatories, its Requests for Production of Documents, and Requests for Admissions to Plaintiff; Plaintiff has answered accordingly.

3. Depositions

Plaintiff has noticed and taken the depositions of NAS and SFR Investments.

SFR Investments has noticed and taken the deposition of Plaintiff.

Plaintiff also noticed the deposition of Star Hills HOA for March 18, 2019, however, due to a last minute conflict on the part of Star Hills HOA's representative, the deposition was not take. The parties have agreed to take the HOA's deposition on March 22, 2019.

STATEMENT SPECIFYING THE DISCOVERY THAT REMAINS TO BE В. COMPLETED.

Plaintiff seeks to take the deposition of Star Hills HOA on March 22, 2019.

Additional discovery based upon information discovered in deposition may be necessary.

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

C. THE REASONS WHY DISCOVERY WAS NOT COMPLETED WITHIN THE TIME LIMITS SET BY THE DISCOVERY PLAN.

The discovery delay was caused by a last minute conflict on the part of Star Hills HOA's representative. With discovery continuing, Plaintiff and Defendants have conferred and agreed to take the remaining deposition of Star Hills HOA on March 22, 2019.

D. GOOD CAUSE EXISTS TO EXTEND THE DISCOVERY DEADLINE.

Local Rule 26-4 requires that any stipulation made to extend discovery made within 21 days of the deadline must be supported by good cause. The good cause standard under LR 26-4 is the same as the good cause standard under Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). Winfeld v. Wal-mart Stores, Inc., 2:14-cv-01034-MMD-CWH, 2016 WL 3360658, at *1 (D. Nev. Jun. 9, 2016). "Good cause to extend a discovery deadline exists 'if it cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the part[ies] seeking extension." Nunez v. Harper, 2:13-CV-0392-GMN-NJK, 2014 WL 2808985, at *2 (D. Nev. June 20, 2014) (quoting Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992)).

Good cause exists to extend the discovery period by four days. The discovery delay was caused by a last minute, unavoidable conflict on the part of Star Hills HOA's representative. With discovery continuing, Plaintiff and Defendants have conferred and agreed to take the remaining deposition of Star Hills HOA on March 22, 2019. Accordingly, good cause exists to grant the extension of discovery.

Ε. PROPOSED SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETING ALL REMAINING **DISCOVERY:**

1. **Discovery Cut-Off**

The discovery cut-off shall be extended from March 18, 2019 to March 22, 2019 for the limited purpose of taking the deposition of the 30(b)(6) witness for Star Hills HOA.

2. **Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties**

The deadline to amend the pleadings and parties has closed. No such request to amend the pleadings or add parties is requested

///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. Expert Disclosure

The deadline to name initial experts disclosures has closed. No such request to name experts is requested.

4. **Dispositive Motions**

In accordance with Local Rule 26-1(e)(4), the last day for filing dispositive motions including, but not limited to motions for summary judgement, shall be extended from April 18, 2019 to April 25, 2019.

5. Pre-Trial Order

In accordance with Local Rule 26-1(e)(5), the last day to file a Joint Pre-Trial Order, including any disclosures pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(3), shall be extended from May 18, 2019 to May 28, 2019 (May 25 is a Saturday and May 27 is Memorial Day). In the event dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the Joint Pre-Trial Order shall be suspended until thirty (30) days after the decision on the dispositive motions or upon further order by the Court extending the time period in which to file the Joint Pre-Trial Order.

/// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// /// ///

Trial and Calendar Call 6.

No trial has been set in this matter.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

<u></u>		
AKERMAN LLP	KIM GILBERT EBRON	
/s/ Rex. D. Garner, Esq.	/s/ Diana S. Ebron, Esq.	
ARIEL E. STERN, ESQ.	JACQUELINE A. GILBERT, ESQ.	
Nevada Bar No. 8276	Nevada Bar. No. 10593	
REX D. GARNER, ESQ.	DIANA S. EBRON, ESQ.	
Nevada Bar No. 9401	Nevada Bar No. 10580	
1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330	KAREN L. HANKS, ESQ.	
Las Vegas, Nevada 89144	Nevada Bar No. 9578	
Attorneys for Plaintiff The Bank of New York	7625 Dean Martin Drive, Suite 110	
fka The Bank of New York, as Trustee for the	Las Vegas, Nevada 89139	
Certificateholders CWABS, Inc. Asset-Backed	Attorneys for Defendant SFR Investments Pool	
Certificates, Series 2006-6	1, LLC	
	ALVERSON TAYLOR & SANDERS	
	/s/ Adam R. Knecht, Esq.	
	KURT R. BONDS, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 6228	
	ADAM R. KNECHT, ESQ.	
	Nevada Bar No. 13166	
	7401 W. Charleston Blvd	
	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117	
	Attorneys for Defendant Star Hill HOA	

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

ATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

March 22, 2019 DATED:_