1	2. Saticoy Bay shall have until January 4, 2019 to file an amended summary judgment motion.
2	The parties represent that the defendants summary judgment motion is being withdrawn because
3	of representations made in the motion regarding the plaintiffs responses to the defendants written
4	discovery requests. Written discovery was served on the plaintiff on February 8, 2017. This case was
5	stayed by order entered on February 27, 2017 (ECF 23). An order lifting the stay was entered on
6	September 24, 2018 (ECF 29).
7	Counsel for the plaintiff did not respond to the written discovery, however, counsel for the
8	defendant never made a request for discovery responses after the stay was lifted.
9	After discussion between counsel for Selene Finance and Saticoy Bay, it was determined that it
10	would not be fair to base part of Saticoy Bay's motion for summary judgment based on discovery which
11	was not responded to when counsel for Saticoy Bay did not request responses after the stay was lifted.
12	DATED this 28 th day of December, 2018
13	LAW OFFICES OF AKERMAN LLP MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.
14	WICHAELT, BOTH, ESQ., ETD.
15	By: /S/ Michael F. Bohn, Esq./ By: /S/ / Tenesa Powell, Esq./
16	Michael F. Bohn, Esq. 2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 480 By. 757 Tellesa Towell, Esq. Tenesa Powell, Esq. 1635 Village Center Circle, Suite 200
17	Henderson, Nevada 89074 Attorney for defendant Saticoy Bay Las Vegas, NV 89134 Attorney for plaintiff Selene Finance
18	2nd
19	IT IS SO ORDERED this day of January, 2019.
20	XXXX. =
21	U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
22	Respectfully submitted by:
23	LAW OFFICES OF
24	MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ., LTD.
25	By: /S/ Michael F. Bohn, Esq./ MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ.
26	2260 Corporate Circle, Suite 480 Las Vegas, Nevada 89119
27	Attorney for defendant Saticoy Bay
28	2