1		
2		
3		
4 5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10		
11	HENRY LUJAN, et al.,	) ) Case No. 2:16-cv-02694-RFB-NJK
12	Plaintiff(s), vs.	) Case No. 2:10-cv-02094-RFB-NJK )
13	GORDON WESLEY THOMAS, et al.,	ORDER
14	Defendant(s).	(Docket No. 7)
15		
16	Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulated proposed discovery plan and scheduling	
17	order. Docket No. 7. The proposed discovery plan does not contain the certifications required by	
18	Local Rule 26-1(b)(7)-(9). Additionally, the discovery cutoff is measured from the date the first	
19	defendant answered or otherwise appeared, and if the parties wish to request a longer discovery	
20 21	period, they must request special scheduling review. <i>See</i> Local Rule 26-1(a). Finally, the parties misstate LR 26-4.	
22	Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan and scheduling order is hereby <b>DENIED</b> without	
23	prejudice. No later than January 23, 2017, the parties shall file a new proposed discovery plan and	
24	scheduling order that complies with the Local Rules.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	DATED: January 18, 2017	
27	NANCY J. KOPPE	
28	United States Magistrate Judge	

Lujan et al v. Thomas et al

Doc. 8