1		
2		
3		
4 5		
6	LINITED OT ATES DISTRICT COLIDE	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
, 8	* * *	
9	RUSSELL PATTON,	Case No. 2:16-cv-2738-JCM-CWH
10	Plaintiff,	
11	V.	ORDER
12	FINANCIAL BUSINESS AND CONSUMER	
13	SOLUTIONS, INC.,	
14	Defendant.	
15	Presently before the court is plaintiff Russell Patton's motion to amend/correct complaint.	
16	(ECF No. 18). Defendant Financial Business and Consumer Solutions, Inc. has not responded,	
17	and the period to do so has since passed.	
18	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) provides that "[t]he court should freely give leave	
19	[to amend] when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). The United States Supreme	
20	Court has interpreted Rule 15(a) and confirmed the liberal standard district courts must apply	
21	when granting such leave. In Foman v. Davis, the Supreme Court explained:	
22	In the absence of any apparent or declared reason—such as undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant, repeated failure to cure deficiencies by amendments previously allowed, undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment futility of the amendment ate the leave sought	
23		
24	of allowance of the amendment, futility of the amendment, etc.—the leave sought should, as the rules require, be "freely given."	
25	371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).	
26	Further, Rule 15(a)(1)(B) provides that "[a] party may amend its pleading once as a	
27	matter of course within 21 days after service of a motion under Rule 12(b)" Fed. R.	
28	Civ. P. $15(a)(1)(B)$ . Local Rule $15-1(a)$ states th	at "the moving party shall attach the proposed

1	amended pleading to any motion to amend" LR 15-1(a). Plaintiff has attached the		
2	proposed amended complaint. (ECF No. 18-2).		
3	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-2(d), "the failure of an opposing party to file points and		
4	authorities in response to any motion constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion."		
5	LR 7-2(d). Thus, by failing to file a timely response, defendant has consented to the granting of		
6	plaintiff's motion to amend/correct complaint. See United States v. Hvass, 355 U.S. 570, 574-75		
7	(1958) (holding that local rules have the force of law). Therefore, the court will grant plaintiff's		
8	motion for leave to amend the complaint.		
9	Accordingly,		
10	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that plaintiff's motion to		
11	amend/correct complaint (ECF No. 18) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.		
12	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff shall file, within seven (7) days from the entry		
13	of this order, an amended complaint identical to that attached to his motion (ECF No. 18-2).		
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant's motion for summary judgment (ECF No.		
15	14) be, and the same hereby is, DENIED as moot.		
16	DATED THIS 2nd day of May, 2017.		
17	Xerres C. Mahan		
18	JAMES C. MAHAN		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		
1	1		